 COUNTYWIDE JUVENILE
JUSTICE CONSORTIUM (CJJC)
OPEN MEETING
 Benjamin Hooks Central Library
Saturday, June 8, 2013
H & N COURT REPORTING Post Office Box 11613
Memphis, Tennessee 38111

1	PRESENT:	
2		MR. CRAIG WILLIS, Moderator,
3		Assistant County Attorney
4		PASTOR EDDIE WILLIAMS
5		REVEREND AUDREY GONZALEZ
6		MS. QUINCY HUGHES
7		DR. DOROTHY THOMAS
8		MR. THURSTON SMITH
		LT. COL. MICKEY WILLIAMS
9		DR. FREDA WILLIAMS
10		MR. JHUKURUIN CORLEY
11		MS. MICHELLE FOWLKES
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	REPORTED BY:	LESLEY L. SPENCE, CSR, LCR
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 (MEETING COMMENCED AT APPROXIMATELY 2 1:02 P.M.) 3 MR. WILLIS: Good morning, everybody. How 4 are you? Thank you for taking time out of your Saturday to be here. Thank you for coming here 5 this afternoon. 6 7 We're not showing movies this afternoon, so if you think that's -- you know, the movie place 8 9 is someplace else. We're here to talk about 10 juvenile court. Hopefully, nobody will leave. 11 This is very important to this community. 12 Thank you all for coming. My name is 13 Craig Willis. I'm an assistant county attorney. I'd like for us to kind of get going. We have a 14 15 very full agenda today. There is an agenda and 16 some materials here as you come in. I hope that 17 everyone will grab the materials. There is a sign-in sheet. Please look to 18 19 the sign-in sheet. There's notebooks here that 20 have yellow covers on them. Those notebooks have a 2.1 lot of reference materials in them that we will be 22 referring to this afternoon. Please feel free to 23 grab one and take it to your seat. However, please 24 leave it in this room -- the reference materials;

okay? And also, do not mark in them.

2.1

Any documents that are referred to today probably will be in that notebook, and we also have some cards. We're going to have a question and answer period. If you want to write down any question while you're thinking about it, you can do that and -- so you'll be able to find that.

What I'd like for us to do right now is to get rolling. We have some more preliminary organizational things to take care of. We want to run through that very quickly and not waste your time, and then around 1:15 -- in about ten minutes, get into the meat of why we're here.

But in the meantime, don't feel like you're glued to your seat. If there are some documents over here that you need, feel free to look at this table, see what's here, get those materials and you can step out and get some water. This might be a good time to do it.

But we're going to try to move at a fast pace. We probably will be here until about 3:30. That's a long period of time. But the main portion of today is to be able to hear from you members of the community, and we want to reserve time for

1 | that.

2.1

So the first thing that we want to do today is we have an organization called the Countywide Juvenile Justice Consortium. That is -- is an organization that was established pursuant to the memorandum of agreement, the MOA, that was entered into between juvenile court of Shelby County and the US Department of Justice.

We have copies of the MOA that we're going to be referring to, the document right here, and also in this booklet. Because of the MOA, this group, this -- referred to as the CJJC or simply "the consortium" has been established, and we have a little bit of organizational business to take care of.

The first thing we're going to do is just call the roll, and I'd like to see if we have a quorum, which will be a majority of the members, today. By the way, the members of the consortium have been appointed in kind of like two sections. The county mayor has appointed some, and then other members are representatives of the juvenile justice board.

So we have -- let's see. Is Evelyn Bachus

```
1
     here today? Jeremy Calhoun? I know Jeremy Calhoun
 2
     is in Indiana, I believe, and unable to attend.
 3
     Jhukuruin Corley? Is he here? Would you please
 4
     raise your hand so people will --
5
              MR. CORLEY:
                           (Complied.)
 6
              MR. WILLIS:
                           Reverend Audrey Gonzalez?
7
              REVEREND GONZALEZ: (Raises hand.)
              MR. WILLIS: Tony Sarwar?
 8
9
                     (No response.)
10
              MR. WILLIS: Thurston Smith?
11
              MR. SMITH: Present.
12
              MR. WILLIS: Dr. Dorothy Thomas?
13
              DR. THOMAS:
                           Here.
              MR. WILLIS: Pastor Eddie Williams?
14
15
              PASTOR WILLIAMS:
                                Right here.
16
              MR. WILLIS: And Dr. Freda Williams has
17
     been kind enough to join us. She has been
18
     nominated by Mayor Luttrell to be on the CJJC.
19
     However, she'll be coming before the county
     commissioners for confirmation this coming
20
2.1
     Wednesday, I believe, on June 12th.
                                           Ιs
22
     Dr. Williams here?
23
              DR. WILLIAMS: (Raises hand.)
24
              MR. WILLIS: Mr. Harold Collins?
```

1	(No response.)
	-
2	MR. WILLIS: Ms. Michelle Fowlkes?
3	MS. FOWLKES: Present.
4	MR. WILLIS: Ms. Quincy Hughes?
5	MS. HUGHES: Present.
6	MR. WILLIS: And Mr. Ron Pope?
7	(No response.)
8	MR. WILLIS: And Lieutenant Colonel Mickey
9	Williams?
10	LT. COL. WILLIAMS: Here.
11	MR. WILLIS: All right. I count one, two,
12	three, four, five, six, seven, eight present and
13	Dr. Freda Williams, a nominee. That's eight, and
14	that would be a majority. I believe we have a
15	quorum and can move forward.
16	The first order of business will be
17	adoption of the bylaws for the CJJC. The members
18	have received these previously and you have them
19	before you. For members of the public that are
20	here, we have copies of these bylaws that are on
21	this table and also in these notebooks.
22	Is there a motion to approve the bylaws of
23	the CJJC?
24	REVEREND GONZALEZ: I move that the bylaws

1	of the CJJC be adopted as presented.
2	PASTOR WILLIAMS: I second that motion.
3	MR. WILLIS: All right. Is there any
4	discussion on that?
5	(No response.)
6	MR. WILLIS: Hearing none, let's move to
7	the question. All those in favor of the adoption
8	of the bylaws of the CJJC, would you signify by
9	saying aye?
10	THE MEMBERS: Aye.
11	MR. WILLIS: Any objections?
12	(No response.)
13	MR. WILLIS: All right. No objections.
14	So we have the official bylaws of the CJJC that
15	have been adopted. You'll notice in the bylaws
16	I'm not sure of the article, but it does list the
17	officers. And the officers provided in the bylaws
18	are the chair, the vice chair, the secretary and
19	the sergeant at arms.
20	I'd like to open up the floor for
21	nomination of the chair of the CJJC. Any
22	nominations?
23	LT. COL. WILLIAMS: I nominate Thurston
24	Smith.

1	MR. WILLIS: Are there any further
2	nominations for the office of chair?
3	DR. THOMAS: Move to close nominations.
4	MR. WILLIS: Is there a second that the
5	nominations be closed?
6	MS. FOWLKES: I second it.
7	MR. WILLIS: Okay. Well, let's move to
8	the question. All those in favor of Mr. Thurston
9	Smith as chair of the CJJC please signify by aye?
10	THE MEMBERS: Aye.
11	MR. WILLIS: Are there any objections or
12	any nays?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. WILLIS: Hearing none, Mr. Thurston
15	Smith has been designated and elected chair of the
16	CJJC.
17	The next position is vice chair. I'd like
18	to open up the floor for nominations for vice
19	chair.
20	MR. SMITH: I nominate Dr. Dorothy Thomas.
21	MR. WILLIS: Are there any other
22	nominations for the position of vice chair?
23	LT. COL. WILLIAMS: I move that the
24	nominations be closed.

1	MR. WILLIS: Any objections to closing
2	nominations of vice chair?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. WILLIS: Hearing none, let's move to
5	the question then. All those in favor of
6	Dr. Dorothy Thomas for vice chair of the CJJC,
7	please signify by saying aye.
8	THE MEMBERS: Aye.
9	MR. WILLIS: Are there any objections?
10	(No response.)
11	MR. WILLIS: All right. Hearing none,
12	it's unanimously approved that Dr. Dorothy Thomas
13	is designated vice chair of the CJJC.
14	The next position called for in the bylaws
15	is secretary. I'd like to open up the floor for
16	nominations for secretary of the CJJC.
17	MR. SMITH: I'd like to nominate Mickey
18	Williams for secretary.
19	MR. WILLIS: Are there any further
20	nominations for the position of secretary?
21	(No response.)
22	MR. WILLIS: Anyone else like to nominate
23	someone for secretary?
24	MR. SMITH: I move that we close
<u> </u>	rik. Britii. i move chac we crose

1	nominations.
2	MR. WILLIS: Any objections to closing
3	nominations?
4	DR. THOMAS: None.
5	MR. WILLIS: All right. Well, let's move
6	to the question. All those in favor of Lieutenant
7	Colonel Mickey Williams as secretary of the CJJC,
8	let's signify by saying aye.
9	THE MEMBERS: Aye.
10	MR. WILLIS: Any opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. WILLIS: Okay. Well, then Lieutenant
13	Colonel Mickey Williams has been duly elected
14	secretary of the CJJC.
15	And the final position called for in the
16	bylaws is the sergeant at arms. I'd like to open
17	up the floor for the position of sergeant at arms.
18	MR. SMITH: Mr. Moderator, I nominate
19	Quincy Hughes as sergeant at arms, please, sir.
20	MR. WILLIS: Are there any other
21	nominations for the position of sergeant at arms?
22	DR. THOMAS: I move nominations be closed.
23	MR. WILLIS: Any objections to closing the
24	position nominations for sergeant at arms?

1 (No response.) Having no objection to that, 2 MR. WILLIS: 3 let's move to the question. All those in favor of 4 Ms. Quincy Hughes for the position of sergeant at 5 arms, please signify by saying aye. 6 THE MEMBERS: Aye. 7 MR. WILLIS: Any objections? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. WILLIS: All right. Then Ms. Ouincy 10 Hughes has been designated sergeant at arms. So we 11 have Mr. Thurston Smith as chair, Dr. Dorothy 12 Thomas as vice chair, Mickey Williams, Lieutenant 13 Colonel of the Memphis Police Department, as 14 secretary, sergeant at arms, Ms. Quincy Hughes, and 15 congratulations to all of you. 16 At this point, I'd like to turn it over to 17 Mr. Thurston Smith -- well, before we do that, I'd 18 like to just recognize members of the court --19 juvenile court that are here. I saw Larry Scroggs, the CAO of juvenile court. He probably has stepped 20 2.1 out for a moment -- oh, there he is. I can't 22 recognize him without his tie. And we also have 23 Tom Coupe'. And, Larry, why don't you introduce 24 your other members of your staff; okay?

1	MR. SCROGGS: Of course Tom Coupe' is up
2	front. I almost feel like Lisa Hill is part
3	she's not quite part of our staff. Lisa Hill is
4	the DMC coordinator. Wain Rubenstein is here as
5	part of our staff. Wain is the administrator of
6	the youth services bureau. Barry Mitchell is next
7	to him. He is the chief probation officer and
8	heads up the children's bureau of the court. And
9	we have Tracy (inaudible) here, who is the juvenile
10	detention (inaudible) for Memphis and Shelby
11	County.
12	And somebody else help me. Anybody else?
13	That's it.
14	LT. COL. WILLIAMS: Barry Mitchell.
15	MR. SCROGGS: Oh, I forgot Barry. Speak
16	up, Tracy.
17	(Inaudible.)
18	MR. SCROGGS: Okay. In the very back
19	row but not at least we have one more so if
20	any others may come in later, we'll try to
21	recognize them.
22	MR. WILLIS: Thank you, court staff, for
23	being here. And thank you, members of the public,
24	for being here. Again, there is a sign-in sheet.

1 If you haven't signed the sign-in sheet, please do If you include your e-mail address, that 2 3 could be helpful so we can let you know when there 4 are other meetings and things like that. Mr. Chairman, I think we're about right on 5 time to move on towards the purpose of the meeting, 6 7 and I'd like to turn it over to you at this time. 8 Thank you. 9 MR. SMITH: Thank you very much. 10 afternoon, everybody. 11 THE MEMBERS: Good afternoon. 12 MR. SMITH: I want to first and foremost 13 thank each and every one of you for coming out. I can assure you there's nothing else better for all 14 of us to do than to devote our attention and time 15 16 to our youth in the community. 17 My name is Thurston Smith. I'm very proud to be a part of this consortium. I think the work 18 19 before us is both honorable and admirable, and I think we'll be able to work collectively with all 20 2.1 of the stakeholders in the community and help to 22 make our juvenile justice system much better. 23 Having said that, I would like to call up

Mr. Tom Coupe' to assist in the overview of the

24

1 CJJC. 2 MR. COUPE': Thank you very much. 3 MR. SMITH: You're welcome. 4 MR. COUPE': It's always difficult talking 5 after Mr. Smith because he is such a good speaker, and I'll be (inaudible). The -- to give some 6 background on the CJJC, during the negotiation with 7 the Department of Justice, one of the -- one of the 8 9 things that the court and the DOJ wanted to do was 10 find a way to connect to the public, to the 11 community. 12 And during this process, actually County 13 Attorney Craig Willis came up with a fantastic idea 14 of merging a community group with an already 15 existing stakeholder group that -- that takes --16 that is already meeting that involves many members 17 of the community that are -- that are involved in 18 child welfare and juvenile issues that -- juvenile 19 justice -- I believe it's called the juvenile 20 justice board. They meet on a regular basis, and 2.1 they have very knowledgeable members of the 22 juvenile court process and the juvenile law 23 process.

And we brought them along and mixed them

24

with members of the public to create the CJJC, and that's where we came along with that. During the negotiations, we created this in the memorandum, and Mayor Luttrell -- Mayor Luttrell is in charge -- was in charge of appointing the members. And that's where we kind of came along.

Then the CJJC is a local organization established by the MOA between the Department of Justice and Shelby County government. The -- it was entered into on December 17th. That's an important date. That is the date that the actual memorandum of agreement that the juvenile court is bound to -- that date was the date in which the memorandum was signed, and all the time frames which the court must meet are based upon that December 17th, 2012 time frame.

You can always find that memorandum of agreement on the juvenile court web site, on the Shelby County Board of Commissioners web site.

It's also available on the -- juvenile court has a Facebook page and I'll provide that information later on. It's available there also. Those are just a few of the places that you -- actually, the Department of Justice actually has a copy of that

1 | memorandum listed on their web page also.

The authority of the -- of the CJJC -- it may not enter into any contract, as you know, engaged in any activity requiring expenditure of funds of juvenile court money, Shelby County money or any other agency, and it's not a financially driven agency. It's an agency working to better affect the court and the community and work through the process of getting us through this memorandum of agreement.

The CJJC did, during that time, create a mission and goal statement, which I think is a fantastic -- a fantastic idea. A lot of groups that have been in this short of a time period put together don't do something like that. And I really appreciate the fact that the CJJC did that. Their mission and their goal is to educate, publicize and inform the public of reforms implemented by juvenile court as required by the memorandum of agreement through outreach efforts, to make these (inaudible) available to the public, to provide (inaudible) by regularly participating in open meetings to get the community's viewpoints and questions concerning reform measures undertaken

by juvenile court and to communicate that
information back to juvenile court.

2.1

That's the main purposes of the CJJC. You will meet these people and get an opportunity to know where they come from, what parts of town they are from, where they work in the community, and you can always contact them and talk to them about questions they have -- questions you have about the court process. And they, in turn, then can communicate those to us.

So if you know Mr. Smith or you can get Mr. Smith's contact information and you have a question why something is going on in your community you feel is not being addressed, you talk to Mr. Smith. Mr. Smith will then talk to me in juvenile court or talk to Larry Scroggs or talk to somebody involved. And we'll get back to him. We have to get back to him, and we want to get back to him.

And then he, in turn, will get back to you, so this is a fantastic way the court -- so the community actually has a way to get in contact with the court. And that's just -- that's not the only way, but that is one significant way to get people

in the community, people in your -- in your work
area and to be able to get involved with the court.

And I think right now I'm going to turn it over to Craig Willis. I think you're going to do the overview right now of the -- okay. I was looking at the next note. I'm sorry.

MR. SMITH: Pursuant to the memorandum of agreement in the establishment of the countywide juvenile justice consortium, they thought it feasible and important that they, in the selection of the members of the juvenile justice consortium, that those members be appropriately reflective of members of the community in diversity and youth.

Part of that appointment process also included at least one parent who's had a child that's been involved in the juvenile court system, as well as at least one youth. Having said that, it is my distinct honor and pleasure to bring forth Mr. Jhukuruin Corley, who is going to tell a very personal yet riveting story about his experience in the juvenile justice system. Thank you.

MR. CORLEY: Good evening, everyone. My name is Jhukuruin Corley. I'm a 21-year-old activist from the Frazier community and also a

junior at the University of Memphis. A little bit about myself and my background and where I come from: I grew up in the 38127 area (sic) code my entire life. About six years ago, me and a few of my friends were convicted on an aggravated burglary charge in the Northaven community where I was once a gang member.

2.1

I once sold drugs, fought dogs -- you know, living a life where I -- I was held to take care of myself. From this experience, I lost my best friend. I gained a background, but I also found my Savior in Christ.

But through this, I was entered into the juvenile justice system, where my rights were also violated. The best way for me to compare was to a 14-year-old young man whose case was just threw out where he set his house on fire and his mom was killed. That's the best way for me to compare it for you all to, you know, pick up on the language.

But through this, I was -- I could have been sent to 201 and charged as an adult, but I was entered into a program named JIFF, which is Juvenile Intervention and Faith-based Followup.

And through this, working with these guys, you

```
1
     know, I gained mentors. I gained life friends.
 2
     gained big brothers from them working with me.
     important to me, I learned to give back to my
 3
 4
     community.
              From them, I've -- they even nominated --
5
     they even nominated me for this consortium.
 6
7
     know, they told Mayor Mark Luttrell about me, and
     you know, he -- he said, "We've got to have you on
 8
9
     this board." And from that, I've travelled some --
10
     everywhere. I've been to Washington, D.C.;
11
     Chicago, Illinois; Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas -- just
12
     a few places -- just because of guys who actually
     love God. And, you know, they felt compelled to
13
     give back to our communities. Well, that's also
14
15
     what I found out.
16
              So if you have any questions, feel free to
17
     e-mail me, call me or however you want to get in
18
     touch with me.
                     Thank you.
19
                     (Applause.)
20
              MR. SMITH: Thank you very much,
21
     Jhukuruin. Mr. Willis, did you have some
22
     additional remarks?
23
                            Thank you.
              MR. WILLIS:
                                        I would like to
24
     call on Bill Powell, the settlement agreement
```

coordinator. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bill Powell is designated as the settlement agreement coordinator by the MOA. Many of you are probably familiar with Bill Powell, but he could not be here today.

So he prepared a very brief written update

So he prepared a very brief written update about what he sees as how the court has been progressing and implementing the reforms. It's a document that's on the table, that one page, and I'm just going to quickly go through that. And then we're going to move on.

Where we are on the agenda is we're on the second page of the agenda. So that -- the MOA, which you have a copy of, as has been indicated was signed on December the 17th, 2012. That's considered the effective date. The MOA addresses the areas for improvement, targeted for improvement such as due process, equal protection, protection from harm in the detention facility and like suicide prevention and community outreach. And that's what we're engaged in right now.

The settlement agreement coordinator, Bill Powell, was asked to file a report every six months, and he's done that already. There is a

1 copy in the large notebooks of the full report that Bill Powell filed with the DOJ around April 2nd. 2 3 And then after that, the monitors make their 4 independent assessment themselves. We just 5 received a -- the due process monitors report and copies are on the table available for you and 6 available online as well. 7 Bill notes in his notes that the court has 9 made some accomplishments through the end of 2013 10 (sic). They have revised the policies on 11 protection against self-incrimination, 12 confidentiality of delinquency hearings, policies 13 on suicide prevention, the presence (inaudible), probable cause and termination, notes of charges 14 15 and policies on transfer. That's been a lot of 16 work. 17 These policies take a lot of effort. They 18 have to be approved also by the DOJ. There's been 19 substantial work as far as training as well. 20 DMC or -- that's disproportionate minority 21 contact -- trying to reduce that, cultural 22 diversity, use of force, racial and ethnic 23 disparities in juvenile offender training 24 That's the key thing as far as due (inaudible).

process in criminal defense -- juvenile defense, I should say.

However, there is work to be done over the next three months. There has -- the court needs to focus on additional due process policies, including bail and restitution improvements, data collection and analysis to insure equal protection and minimization of DMC -- we have Lisa Hill who is the DMC coordinator here today. She'll probably speak to some of these -- development of a strategic plan for DMC reduction and development and use, objective decision making tools, development and implementation of the community outreach program. That's what we're doing right now.

That's an evolving thing, and we certainly want your input on that. Please give that to Tom Coupe' or members of this consortium -- revised use of court policy and improvement on -- and suicide prevention policies. However, Bill has stated that there's been significant accomplishments. A great deal of work has been done on policy revisions.

Improvements in most of the areas have been evident and -- but there's a need to insure that these policies (inaudible) match up. That's very

difficult.

You have a very different policy -- you have very different policies and everything has to fit together. There is a need to insure effective communication and more training on policy changes, and that's understandable. If there is a change, then there is a need to address resources for juvenile defense and the juvenile offender panel. In other words, it costs money for these -- to go forward.

And Bill expressed a concern about the suicide prevention policy, but I'm sure that these items will be addressed by Tom Coupe' and Larry Scroggs in the next session. In fact, I think now is the time for Larry Scroggs, if you'd like to go ahead and let us know what the court has been doing. Thank you.

MR. SCROGGS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm Larry Scroggs. I'm the chief administrative

officer -- I had to think about it a minute -- and

chief counsel for the juvenile court. I want to

thank each member of the consortium for their

willingness to participate in this historic

venture.

Chairman Smith said this in the beginning, but it's a very important work. And it is something that we will be laying a foundation for the future in Shelby County in terms of juvenile justice. Few counties have the opportunity we have at this point to have this much of a positive impact and effect upon juvenile justice.

In fact, it's the stated intent of the

Department of Justice that Memphis and Shelby

County set the standard for juvenile justice in the

United States. That's a tremendous challenge, but

it's also a great opportunity. We're grateful that

you're part of it, that you members of the

consortium are willing to be part of that.

I want to also thank Craig Willis, who has done such a tremendous job in orchestrating, organizing, preparing and the tireless efforts on his part to get us to this point today as the first meeting of the Countywide Juvenile Justice Consortium.

I wanted to just spend just a couple of minutes, if I might, to give you a little bit of an overview of where we have been and where we are and where we're going. Most of you probably recall on

April 26, 2012, the Department of Justice held a press conference in Memphis at the federal building, and at that time, they officially released the report of the findings which culminated a two and a half year investigation that had been opened in August of 2009.

2.1

On the very next day, April 27, 2012, juvenile court staff began to address the measures that were going to be required to remediate the issues raised in the report of findings. I want you to -- maybe just to have some glimpse of how much work and effort has been undertaken in a very short time by juvenile court staff, it's been really an amazing thing to see.

We have 254 staff members of the court.

That includes everybody, but there's been a core group of people who are responsible for addressing these -- the report of findings and beginning the reform process in terms of what the court could accomplish internally. That effort has continued even to this day, and today itself represents something of a continuation of that effort to bring us to the point where you can be informed -- much better informed about what has been taking place.

One of the things that has happened just recently -- just the other day, as a matter of fact, is we received the first report -- compliance report from the due process monitor, who is a professor at Rutgers law school named Sandra Simkins. She has an extensive background in juvenile justice, particularly in juvenile defense. She's been very helpful in her approach and also in what she has shared with us in terms of her expertise.

And what she has been engaged in has been reviewing the reforms, the revisions to policy.

Reform and policy work are not easy. In fact, they're very hard work. Many of you have participated in those kinds of endeavors and know what I'm talking about. When you begin to try to revise and develop policy, you get into some really intricate work.

And so what Ms. Simkins has been doing the last several weeks is reviewing policy revisions that have been put in place by the court in the area of due process. Her conclusions on policy revisions are very positive. If you have a chance to read the report, I encourage you to do so. It's

posted on the juvenile court web site. It's on the web site of the Department of Justice, and I believe Craig told me it's on the county web site as well.

MR. WILLIS: Yes.

2.1

MR. SCROGGS: So we have ample opportunities for you all to see that. I think it's very important because it's sort of a baseline for where we are at this point in view of the due process model. What we have is structure here to -- just to try to make it as understandable as I can, we sort of focused on policy, procedure and practice. Each one of the areas of revision and reform, that's the way we approached it.

And then we had to have a policy in place. We had to develop a procedure based on the policy, and then we had to perfect our practice, which is designed to institutionalize the changes that are being made in the process. That work is well under way, but realistically, I think it will take us until the end of 2014 before we are in a position to say that we have substantially complied with the memorandum of agreement.

I say realistically because I think it

will take that long to -- not to get policy in place -- I think we're pretty much there -- not to develop procedure. We're pretty much -- but the practice itself and the training that is required, not only for court staff but also for defense counsel, is a very important part of what we look at when we think practice. We want to make -- practice makes perfect. That's what we want to do. We want to continue to build on that. So realistically, I think we're about 18 months away or so from that.

2.1

Now, where we are now almost literally is just six months from the release of the agreement the memorandum of agreement. So a tremendous amount has been accomplished at this point.

I want to just very -- two others very quickly, and that is -- well, two things: We have four parts to this memorandum of agreement, if you've had a chance to look at it. Due process, equal protection, protection from harm or facility safety and community engagement or community outreach. What we are trying to do -- and we think realistically -- is approach each of these on a time table that makes sense.

I've already mentioned due process and where we think we will be. We think we'll be substantially in compliance with that in 18 months. On the area of equal protection, there's a very intricate and difficult area in many respects because it involves so much data and analysis of that data. It has to be accumulated over a sufficient length of time to judge whether or not our trends are improving in terms of reducing disproportionate contact.

2.1

We think that will take a while. That probably will take two years from the time of the agreement -- the effective date of the agreement to really get a handle on it, because we're looking at a base year that probably will be 2009 and 2010, so we want to measure going forward.

Soon you will have available the first compliance report of the equal protection monitor, who is Dr. Michael Leiber from the University of South Florida. I'm anticipating -- I think we're all anticipating it will be probably released this coming week, so you'll be getting -- you'll be able to judge for yourselves where we are as he has looked at the baseline and established the baseline

going forward.

2.1

The third area, facility safety for protection from harm, which heavily involves prevention of suicide -- fortunately in Shelby County we've not had suicide in the juvenile justice system in the detention center. There was a suicide in 1974. That's the last time that unfortunate situation occurred, but we have a lot of protections that are built into the MOA, a lot of requirements to prevent that sort of thing.

We think, if the county commission approves our medical and mental health services contract in the next couple of weeks, that it's realistic to assume that by the end of this calendar year -- that is December of 2013 -- we should have remedied all the issues relating to the facility itself.

The fourth area is, which is where we are today, community outreach and engagement. Built into the agreement itself is that it will take about a three year track to engage the community, to achieve input and information from the community and convey input to the community about juvenile justice reform.

I think it's very important to do that because what we're really needing to do, wanting to do and expected to do is to change mind-sets and perceptions so that at the end of this venture that we're undertaking, the community itself will have faith and confidence in the juvenile justice system more than it has ever had. And in order to achieve that, it's going to take -- it's a two-way street. It's going to take input from you, the community, information from the court flowing back and forth as we go forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Those things will be measured as well. Ιn fact, there will be a community survey that will be taken to try to assess where we are now to establish a baseline. Those are some things I want us to -- just one quick thing. I would like for us to all think of it this way. Think of the future. We have a chance today to imagine what our juvenile justice system can be like. We have a chance to make it happen and meet that standard that the Department of Justice would like us to meet to be the model for juvenile justice of the United States. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Thank you very much, Larry.

1 As you've heard since the outset of this afternoon's open forum, the issues surrounding our 2 3 juvenile justice system, the Shelby County Juvenile 4 Court are quite complex. I don't say that in any shape, form or fashion to -- to appear overwhelming 5 but rather to convey a simple message to the public 6 that the Countywide Juvenile Justice Consortium is 7 aware of these issues, and it is going to take some 8 9 time for us to move forward. 10 But we are all very enthusiastic about 11 accomplishing those objectives. Having said that, 12 one of the items identified -- one of the critical areas that were identified throughout the 13 investigation and -- and that pursuant to the 14 15 memorandum of agreement must be addressed has to do 16 specifically with disproportionate minority 17 contact. And having said that, I would like to call 18 19 up Ms. Lisa Hill to speak to those issues a little bit more eloquently. Lisa? 20 21 MS. HILL: Good afternoon everyone. Ι 22 want to begin by thanking everyone for coming out. 23 I know that it's a beautiful Saturday. Most of you

could probably be out there doing some other

24

things, but you chose to come here. I know that this is something that everyone in this room finds extremely important. I do too. That's the reason why I'm a part of this reform.

2.1

I want to begin by just sharing a little bit of information just so that you'll have an understanding of what my role is as DMC coordinator. I was appointed by Mayor Luttrell. I have not been with juvenile court for that long. I joined this reform process this past February, and it has been quite interesting. For me, I didn't came in -- I wasn't inside the juvenile justice court. I was completely outside.

I worked with the adult population. As a matter of fact, I worked with the mentally ill at the criminal justice center, and prior to that, I spent a number of years managing large projects.

So when I looked at this job and I looked at everything that needs to be done, I had to put it in a way that I thought working with my background and the things that I know, managing projects and taking nothing and then actually creating something from that and building something from the ground up.

I found this is as a -- to be a wonderful opportunity for me to come in and, as Larry Scroggs said, build this foundation, and even though it's not going to be something that can take place overnight, in time, my vision is that we will have a juvenile justice system that will be unlike the one that you've seen.

2.1

I've worked with the juvenile court staff for a short period of time, and I can say to all of you that the entire staff is working extremely hard on trying to meet the requirements of the Department of Justice. There's a lot of things that they're asking of us. There's a lot of things that they're asking me to do. As a matter of fact, what I thought I was going to be responsible for initially when accepting this position changed when the monitors came in to visit us in April.

So we just had to take what's thrown at us and just keep moving because the ultimate goal is the children. We know that we want to make sure that the kids -- all children are being treated fairly, and with that, I just want to give you just an idea of what we've accomplished. We are in the beginning state right now of evaluating the data.

We've been working for these past almost four months on just trying to compile the data, trying to get into the systems. For me -- again, not being inside of the juvenile court system -- I had to learn the different areas, determine where data was being stored. So it was a little bit complicated at first, but we have the data. We have the numbers. We've turned it over to the Department of Justice.

So this is where the fun part starts because we have the numbers. This is where it gets extremely interesting because now that the numbers are available, we have to sit down and really start to dig deep to look at what these numbers mean. We first have to gain an understanding. It's just a bunch of numbers.

We do see that there are some disparities at some critical decision points in this process, so now we have to begin the journey of looking into why we have some higher numbers in certain areas, why there are lower numbers and then start looking at policies and procedures. We have to really dig deep to get inside those policies and procedures and look at decision points. We have to look at

what methods they're using to make the decisions that they're making.

2.1

We have to look at -- you know, there may be -- there's some things that they're doing unknowingly that may be causing DMC. We don't know that yet. But it's -- it's -- we're at the beginning stages. It's not going to be something that we can fix overnight. This is going to be a long-term effort on everybody's part. It's going to take teamwork, teamwork, teamwork. There is no way that, as the DMC coordinator, I'm going to be able to do this by myself because I don't know their policies.

So I'm working with these individuals to, you know, hopefully try to decrease where DMC is currently occurring to make sure that moving forward that we don't have these things -- same things occurring. The report will be going out on June 17th. There's a lot of information that I have to compile that I have to deliver to the Department of Justice. And it's right around the corner.

Again, a lot of the work has been -- has been completed, but where we get into reviewing

```
1
     policies and procedures and making recommendations
     for changes, that's really going to be time
 2
 3
     consuming and is going to be a work in progress.
 4
     So I don't expect this to be something that we'll
     be reporting on as a fixed problem in the very near
 5
     future, but just understand that the juvenile court
 6
     staff is -- is working on it, is working on trying
7
     to find where the disparity is occurring.
 8
9
              I don't have anything else at this point.
10
     I don't know if you'll open it up for questions
11
     later.
12
              MR. SMITH: Yes.
13
              MS. HILL: I just wanted to thank you for
14
     your time.
15
                     (Applause.)
16
              MR. SMITH: Very good. Thank you very
17
     much, Ms. Hill, and Mr. Scroggs and Mr. Coupe', and
18
     since I just mentioned Mr. Coupe', again we need
19
     assistance one more time at the podium.
                                               We'd like
     for you to talk a little bit more about the role of
20
2.1
     the juvenile court community outreach.
22
              MR. COUPE': Okay. The -- part of my role
23
     today was also -- as part of the community
24
     outreach, we need to let the public know about the
```

current progress with reforms. And fortunately,
Mr. Scroggs did a good job of giving a really good
overview of where we are and what the anticipated
time lines are.

2.1

There are some things particularly that

I'm going to touch on that will give you a better

idea of specific things that the DOJ has recognized

and where we are with those -- those situations.

One of the things that did come -- and in a general sense that was discussed by Mr. Scroggs was that the compliance monitor -- and when you read the reports that the compliance monitors have submitted, there -- there aren't going to be any situations in which the compliance monitor has said, "Juvenile court is now complying 100 percent with what they've been asked to do," even though we are doing the things that we're supposed to do because, as Mr. Scroggs stated, they wanted to see them in a longer period of time.

So it's not as if we haven't complied with what they've asked. But we haven't -- they want to see a long-term commitment for what we're doing.

For example, one of the big concerns the Department of Justice had was that we weren't holding

detention hearings within 48 hours of a child brought to the detention center. State law allows us to do 72 hours, but with discussions with the Department of Justice, they wanted it to be 48.

So as June of last year, we have made sure that no child that comes in our detention center does not have a probable cause determination within 48 hours. And that's been continued and that's been found to be an area in which we have not gone past our promise to do that. The DOJ has recognized that we're following that procedure. They have not stamped approval saying it is fully compliant, but that's one instance right there where you'll find that we've been asked to do something. We are doing it, but we haven't gotten approval because it isn't done for a long, long, long period of time.

I have a long PowerPoint that I'm going to shorten because Mr. Scroggs has done an excellent job in talking about what -- where we are. But there are some things I really do want to point out. We're talking about juvenile law, and it is very, very important to understand that juveniles -- while juveniles and adults have

similar constitutional rights attached to them,
there's a different theory and process behind how
we treat juveniles versus how we treat adults.

First of all, in the juvenile court
statutes themselves they note the purpose of
juvenile court is to provide treatment, training
and rehabilitation programs for children committing
delinquent acts. So you'll see the words
delinquent act. You won't see the child being
convicted. You won't talk about a child being
arrested, and you'll never hear about adults being
provided treatment, training and rehabilitation.

The purpose of the adult system, for the most part, is punishment, and we don't want to punish juveniles in the juvenile system. We want to treat them. We want to rehabilitate them, and we want to make sure that they get the services they need to go back in the community to be -- to get what they need to be good kids.

Maintain a family environment, separating children from their parents only when absolutely necessary to insure the child's welfare or interest in the public safety. And that comes into place a lot right now when we're dealing with detention

hearings and what to do with the child when a child has been brought to the court in determining whether or not that child should remain in custody until a hearing or be let go back into the public until that hearing takes place.

2.1

Again, here's the part (inaudible). A juvenile -- or the taking of a child into custody is not an arrest except for the purposes of determining validity under the constitution. We don't arrest kids in the juvenile system. We also have a different juvenile determination between bail as the adults do. Juveniles do not have the right to jury trials. That's one difference in the juvenile system in Tennessee, at least, and the adult system. There's no right to a jury trial in the juvenile system here, and there is no right to bail.

In the adult system, there is a constitutional right to bail, and in the juvenile system, there is not. It's very interesting why they would say that. The Supreme Court justices in that case found that children are always in some sort of custody, whether it be with their parents or an agency or whoever. You know, your -- as you

probably know, your 13-year-old can't tell you whenever they want to they're going to leave the house. They can't decide to go to a movie at ten o'clock at night without having some kind of permission.

So even in the home of the parent, they're always kind of in custody, so the Supreme Court kind of viewed the idea that the right to bail when a child is detained -- they're still in a kind of custody in their rights to -- that they have to come and go as they please aren't quite as distinct as adults.

The -- the -- in talking about due process violations, this is what the DOJ memorandum -- the findings say. "We find that juvenile court engages in a pattern of practice of violating children's due process rights by not giving petitions to children at detention hearings. Furthermore, the court's policy of distributing petitions does not provide sufficient notice to the children in advance of the hearing to permit preparation." And that's one of the main issues we addressed right off the bat.

We now -- juvenile court now requires that

a sworn affidavit of complaint is required for admission into detention. And what that means is when a police officer comes to the detention center with a child, that officer then has to swear to the facts in front of a -- I guess it's a --

2.1

MR. SCROGGS: A deputized clerk.

MR. COUPE': -- deputized clerk. Thank you. So you take that sworn affidavit and make sure that the facts are there and then bring that child in. The child now, unlike in the past, is provided a copy of that affidavit when that child is released, and the child's attorney is now provided a copy of that sworn complaint before the detention hearing. So that remedies one of the concerns that the child -- and the child does not have an opportunity to prepare his defense -- his or her defense prior to a detention hearing.

So right after that when the DOJ recognized that concern, we took that concern and said, "Thank you very much. We're now going to change that." And that's one of the processes by which we've made -- made a change.

We now with the petition -- one of the things that the DOJ wants us to do is to create a

petition when a child -- when charges are filed against a child. It's a very interesting process though from the court's standpoint. What we don't want to do in juvenile court is further put the child into the juvenile system.

2.1

One of the main concerns, one of the main -- the main tenets of juvenile justice, as we learned, as a child -- as a child -- a practitioner -- a juvenile law practitioner, you don't want to have children indoctrinated into the system. I get phone calls at my office asking -- parents asking me to kind of bring their kid into the detention center for a scared straight program or a child might get brought to detention because of some sort of minor charge and the parent tells them -- tells the detention center to leave that child there. "I want to teach them a lesson."

Those things do not -- studies have shown -- do not help a child at all. Keeping the child out of the system helps the child, not putting the child in the system to teach that child a lesson. The more often we can keep children out of the system, the better -- the better chance -- the stats have shown across the board that children

are going to have much, much better outcomes.

2.1

And part of that is not creating
petitions. If we've created a petition for a
child, that child now has a record; all right? And
we don't want a child to have a record, so one of
the things we try to do is hold off on a petition
as long as possible, until we know that child is
going to get charged. Now, the affidavit of
complaint is out there. But oftentimes, a child
can be brought in on an affidavit, and sometimes
that case can be dismissed. That child can be
diverted, and that case would never make it into
the court system.

The minute we file a petition, that child is into the court system, and when he's 20 years old and he's trying to get in the military and they do a background check and we have the -- and the clerk of court has to provide them a copy of any juvenile things, he's going to have a record.

And we don't want that record there, so one of the things is balance the issues with making sure that the child's constitutional rights are protected by making sure they have notice of the charges, but also protecting that right of the

child to be free from any sort of indication of criminality or juvenile delinquency in the future as they become older.

2.1

2.4

We've also changed the way petitions have to be modified in advance of a hearing. Charges have to be amended now 14 days before the hearing, meaning that a defense attorney, a child and the child's parents have to be aware if the prosecution is going to change the charges. So if the child is being charged with assault and something happens that the victim and the -- the DA and the police decide to make that charge aggravated assault, now the parties have to be notified 14 days in advance in order to give that child and the child's defense counsel an opportunity to prepare a much better and much stronger defense.

We don't want to catch anybody off guard. To have that child walk into a courtroom facing what he thinks is going to be a regular assault charge that he thinks he'll probably be able to pass through a diversion commitment and then they say, "You know what? We've got you for aggravated assault and now we're going to try to send you to DCS custody" -- so that's one of the main things

we're doing with the notice of petitions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the other issues also is the court violated the right to be free from self-incrimination during probation conferences by eliciting self-incriminating statements, failing to advise children of their rights prior to questioning and not obtaining informed waivers from juveniles. Now, again that was a -- a fix which we immediately addressed. The probation counselors no longer use the visit and contact form. The hard copy waiver that is presented to the child at the first contact with the court is required to be re-acknowledged during the legal process and policies have been adopted requiring judicial officers not to proceed with a case until a child (inaudible) self-incrimination.

When a child brought into our detention center -- if a child is brought into the detention center, they then are given their -- basically their Miranda rights. They're read that they have the right to an attorney and all those other rights, and they're given a copy right then and there. And then the first time -- their first opportunity to have to meet with an attorney, they

are -- that attorney then will then re-explain those rights. And the judicial officer at that child's first hearing will then again explain to that child his right to an attorney, his right to remain silent, his right to call witnesses and all those things.

2.1

We're making sure over and over again throughout the juvenile process that children are reminded of their rights and have the ability to -- to make those informed waivers. I'm going to skip that one here. How much time do I have?

MR. WILLIS: You're doing okay.

MR. COUPE': We're doing okay? All right.

We're on a pretty good time table right now, and I

don't want to go over time because I know we'll

have room for questions today so I want to make

sure everybody has an opportunity to ask whatever

questions they need to.

The ethical issues on the child's rights, this is more of a classroom kind of topic here that -- before or after a petition is filed, the probation officer may give counsel and advice to the parties with a view to informal adjustments -- an informal adjustment if it appears that the facts

bring the case within the court's jurisdiction, counsel and advice without an adjudication would be in the best interest of the child and the child's parents, guardian or custodian give consent.

This is one of the -- one of the interesting situations that the DOJ has -- has some concerns with, and it is the way in which we normally would discuss with the children in a case -- a case that would more likely than not have brought the child in through some sort of law enforcement and detention. It would have been more through a summons or some other issue like that.

So a child would be brought in and then referred to juvenile court to talk about their -- their situation. At that point, then a probation counselor would talk to the child and say, "Hey, this is what's going on. You're charged with vandalism, and this is the fact. You're charged with vandalism. And, you know, we can discuss this right now, and if we can kind of come to an agreement, we will be able to take this case and take care of it. And if you don't want to talk about it, then, you know, we'll go from there."

And the DOJ had an issue with this, and

it's difficult because it's Tennessee law. See, we have this conflict again between Tennessee law and between the best practices in juvenile -- national juvenile practice. So we've tried to reform this -- this informal adjustment to make sure that the child, even at this stage in the -- their case, that they have the opportunity for counsel. So when we have these informal adjustments we've been setting these to have an attorney present to make sure the child's rights are taken care of.

And unfortunately right now, we're going through a difficult situation, not with our court, but with the State of Tennessee right now, and if any of you know a legislator and want to talk to somebody, one of the concerns we have right now is that the Supreme Court of Tennessee has chosen to -- I believe they don't want to pay attorneys to appear at these hearings.

So right now, it's put the juvenile court -- put us in a very difficult spot because we understand the right these children have, the need to have an attorney. But right now, the method by which attorneys get paid for this -- for this process right now has been put aside, so we're

working right now through a lot of people right now to figure out how the best way is to make sure we're fully compliant with this and make sure that the children's rights are being taken care of and they aren't having their rights violated.

2.1

And I mentioned the legal rights and legal (inaudible) and how those things take place. The timeliness of probable cause hearings, the juvenile violates a child's right to a timely probable cause determination by failing to hold detention hearings on weekends and holidays. As discussed, the court rules require the court accomplish several things at the detention hearing, including arraigning the child, informing the child of his or her rights, and the crucial purpose of these two Supreme Court cases, making a determination of probable cause.

Those two underlined things, Gerstein and County of Riverside -- those are both Supreme Court cases that focused on adults, adult arrests. And during those cases, the Supreme Court of the United States, after looking at a combination of those two cases, has held that an adult who is detained by law enforcement must have a probable cause determination of their detention within 48 hours,

1 | no ifs, ands or buts.

That has now been brought down to -- the DOJ wants the juvenile court in Shelby County to follow that requirement. Those (inaudible) jurisdiction issue is 48 hours. The Department of Justice recognized in a Sixth Circuit case, a federal case that Tennessee (inaudible) is the question that juveniles would have to -- the court would have to follow it based upon that. That was a little different.

That dealt with a case in which a young man -- a 17-year-old, I believe, was out on a curfew violation, and he was locked up in an adult prison for seven to ten days, I believe. So it didn't necessarily deal with a delinquent, per se. It was more of an unruly child. In any event though, detention -- this is what Tennessee law says. "A detention hearing for a child alleged to be delinquent shall be held no later than three day after the child is detained. The detention hearing shall be held no later than 84 hours after a child is placed in detention." However, the (inaudible) requires that the court follow the 48 hour time line under the statute.

So, you see, this is an issue in which the juvenile court is going even further than anybody in the State of Tennessee would follow. Right now, Davidson County doesn't follow this, Knox County, Jackson. Nobody follows it. We do. Since June of 2012, we have held probable cause determinations on weekends and holidays. We're making sure that no child, when they're brought into our detention, has had -- goes without a probable cause determination in that time period.

From that time period -- from -- this is

2.1

From that time period -- from -- this is

from -- as of February of -- when I -- when this

slide was done. We had 324 probable cause hearings

in that time period, and 156 of those kids were

eligible for release as a result of those

hearings. So that's the fantastic -- a fantastic

idea. Know that even in that short period of time

that -- that Tennessee -- that Shelby County

following this best practice has now affected 156

children in a positive manner.

Children that did not need to be detained for a period of time were released, and they are back in their homes. They are back where they needed to be and had a better opportunity because

of this situation. So this is a fantastic -- this is one small area in which you can say there is a measurable effect, something that's actually -- what good has come out of this? Right there, 156 kids I guarantee you will say, "I would much rather not be in detention than be in detention." Because the new policy was put in place, those children were affected in a positive manner.

2.1

And this is the biggest -- the biggest issue right now that we're facing with the court is the failure to conduct constitutionally required transfer hearings. The court -- it failed to meet the requirements of due process and the requirements of Tennessee law. The statute contemplates juvenile courts will engage in a thorough inquiry prior to transferring a child to adult court.

Here's some of the measures we've taken to address those findings. One of the things that we put into place was that we -- actually the court -- I won't say actually me -- submitted the idea that the district attorney file what's called a notice of transfer. That now lets people know, lets the child know and the child's attorney know that the

district attorney is going to take the case and perhaps attempt to make that case a transfer case.

2.1

It puts them -- it puts the defense on notice. It puts the court on notice. It puts all parties involved in the process on notice that this is a transfer case which will bring a higher degree of scrutiny and a higher degree of concern because it's such an important decision. When you're transferring a child from the juvenile court to adult court, it's a tremendously difficult decision to make.

What you're doing by doing that is you're taking the ability of juvenile court to rehabilitate, to treat and to bring that child back in the public. You're saying, "You know what? This child is beyond rehabilitation. He's committed a crime that is so -- it is so bad or he's committed so many crimes so bad that we don't want him in the juvenile system. He is only suitable for punishment." It's a very, very difficult situation to undertake.

Right now, the juvenile court is also in the process of negotiating with the Department of Children's Services and other stakeholders and

court personnel to create a pretransfer report to make sure that when a child is being transferred, that all information possible will be put out there for that -- to the best -- the most information possible when making that decision.

2.1

It also requires that the DA prove probable cause when the child is not capable of treatment or rehabilitation. Written findings of fact are being done now by the judges to make sure that the reasons and the thought process for why the child is being transferred is being put out there.

It's very important right now -- if you look back at an old juvenile court order for transfer -- let's say two or three or four years ago, it's basically going to say the child is being transferred. He's no longer suitable for rehabilitation, and the child is now transferred. Now -- so to go back and look and wonder as to why was that child transferred, there's really nothing that we can give you as a citizen or as a concerned person.

What was the thought process behind this? What happened? What was going on? Who were the

witnesses? Who was the victim? What was -- you know, what the situation is. Now, because of the more thorough orders and written findings, they'll be able to look at that written finding and say, "Hey, this child was charged with X, Y and Z. This witness testified to this. This witness testified to this. This witness testified to this. This child has a previous history of X, Y and Z, and this child will not be able to be rehabilitated based upon the testimony of these people who believe that these services will not be available."

2.1

we like the idea of a child being transferred, at least there will be a rational basis behind why that was done. You're going to look at that and get a better idea of why things happened. This is much better than the -- for the -- you know, for the Department of Justice when they're conducting case file reviews, for the court when we're filing case -- case file reviews.

In making sure that all these processes are taking place, there's actually a way to look without -- without having to listen to the recording or the transcript of the hearing. Look

at the order and say, "Okay. This is what's going on." This either make sense or it doesn't make sense. At least you have a much better basis.

Detention hearings now are -- are more confidential. Only those properly concerned or have a direct interest in the case are now allowed in the hearings. We don't want people coming into hearings that -- to get the business of some other child. And that's -- you know, that's just not right.

There are certain times where a case will be open. The judge has -- still has that discretion and policy, but the general practice now is to make sure that only the child and those properly concerned with the case are involved in that case.

"The juvenile defender's -- the juvenile defender's office is" -- this is what the DOJ says -- "not an independent agency nor is it affiliated with the county public defender's office. Instead, the court operates it entirely, and the chief public defender is appointed by and reports directly to the juvenile court judge. The organizational structure, while not

```
1
     unconstitutional per se, creates an apparent
     conflict of interest, as a juvenile defender must
 2
 3
     balance the duty of representing his clients with
 4
     the inherent loyalty or duty to his employer."
              In any event, the public defenders
5
     bring -- the public -- the PD's office to juvenile
 6
7
     court -- our chief public defender, Stephen Bush,
     was kind enough to come to this and I don't -- I
9
     don't know if he wants to make any statements at
10
     all. If he does -- do you want to make a statement
11
     about your involvement in this process, you can.
12
     If not, then -- by asking that question, I'm
13
     certainly putting you on the spot.
14
              MR. BUSH: Good afternoon, everyone.
15
     Thank you for showing up. We're waiting on the
16
     mike.
17
              MR. WILLIS: Just stay right there if
18
     you'd like. Here's the microphone.
19
              MR. BUSH: Y'all go ahead.
20
              MR. WILLIS: Did you want to say
21
     something?
22
              MR. COUPE': I think -- I think the
23
     general (inaudible) -- as Mr. Bush said, they're
24
     waiting -- ideally the DOJ is -- they would like
```

the primary responsibility of juvenile defense to be taken over by the public defender's office.

Mr. Bush is working feverishly to do so, and when it's ready, when it's done -- I'm not speaking for him. I'm just speaking in a general sense. My -- my sense is when it's ready and when everything is ready to go, then it will go and not until it's ready and not until it's

2.1

So in the meantime, we've been working with Sandra Simkins, who is our -- the due process monitor. She provided a great deal of training for the panel that's involved right now. That panel of current attorneys is a very experienced panel, very good attorneys, and I know a lot of the attorneys were -- that are involved in our process were taken aback by some of the statements that were made in the findings.

There are a lot of people that I would trust my children to, even though my two and a half year old should not be going to juvenile court any time soon, but they're really good attorneys. And the court is working with them. They're doing a fantastic job. But ideally, Mr. Bush will take over.

And this is basically the rule itself that states how attorneys should be -- should be appointed. "When appointing counsel for indigent defendants, the court shall appoint the district public defender's office, the state post-conviction defender's office or other attorneys employed by the state qualified pursuant to this rule." And that's basically the idea that public defenders should be the ones that are taking the role of defending the children.

Obviously, they'll be conflicts. And the public defenders cannot take every single case any way, so we're still going to have to rely on our loyal group of defenders who have been doing this, just as the adult system does. Public defenders are charged the primary responsibility of representing the defense. However, there are times in which other attorneys and bar members take cases to represent an adult.

That kind of sums up where we are with the -- those major -- those major issues. It's more difficult to kind of touch on the due process issues because again, as Mr. Scroggs and Ms. Hill both pointed out, those are very nuanced issues

that don't have any specific -- there's nothing that stands out and says that we can show right now that we've done something.

2.1

I can say that -- that back in 2006 -this is just a number. But in 2006, 9,000 children
were brought to our detention center by law
enforcement. In 2012, right under 3,000 were
brought down. So we've been working -- making
efforts with law enforcement. Our court itself has
made efforts to make sure kids, when they're
brought to our detention center, they are brought
here -- they're not kept here unless there is a
reason for them being here.

There are a lot of reasons we have that, but I really don't have time to go into it today.

But juvenile court has put a lot of measures in place to make sure that the -- the number of kids -- only the kids that really need to be down here are the ones that are down here and that number will continue to decline. I know for a fact that this year that number was drastically lower than it was even last year.

So that 9,000 number will likely be down thousands, under 2000 probably this year. So we're

1 making sure only the children (inaudible). going to turn the microphone back over now. 2 3 you very much. 4 MR. SMITH: Thank you very much, Tom, for that excellent (inaudible). Ladies and Gentlemen, 5 one of the predominate and primary roles of the 6 7 juvenile justice consortium and essentially why we were formed was to receive and solicit input from 8 9 the community and subsequently take that input --10 that input, that message that we received from you, 11 back to the juvenile justice court and Shelby 12 County juvenile justice system. Having said that, there should have 13 14 been -- I'm hoping that there was some question and 15 answer cards. And what we would like to do is 16 offer you an opportunity to ask questions of either 17 members of the juvenile justice consortium, Mr. Coupe', Ms. Lisa Hill, Larry Scroggs, and we 18 19 will respond accordingly. Additionally, if you'd 20 like, you're perfectly welcome to come up to the 2.1 podium and ask your questions from here if you'd 22 like. Oh, you have it? 23 MS. HUGHES: Thank you, Tom. 24 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: I actually just

wanted to clarify something. On one of the slides, it says the decision to transfer is not a decision of court, but of the DA. Is that a change that was made and, if so, what was the reasoning behind that? And that's in reference to the transfer hearings.

2.1

MR. COUPE': I can answer that one. Can everybody hear me, or do you want the mike on?

Okay. The question was the decision to transfer -- in a general sense, the idea of transferring a child to adult court is one that's more often than not initiated by the prosecution.

At some point, the court can make a statement that -- during a hearing or during a process that, "Well, I believe this -- you know, this case should be a case for transfer" and then direct the prosecution to file a motion to transfer or notice of transfer. In a general sense though, the way we're doing it now is the onus is on the prosecution. And there have been some times -- very few times in which -- in my recollection in my five years with the court here and then previously with juvenile court -- that the court has been the one pushing the idea of transfer.

```
1
              It has happened, but in a general sense
     now the prosecution is the one that's responsible
 2
 3
     for filing a notice of -- making that decision.
 4
     It's much better for the prosecution to make that
5
     decision to transfer than having the court
 6
     (inaudible).
7
              MR. SCROGGS: Tom (indicating)?
              MR. COUPE': Yes?
 8
9
              MR. BUSH: Just to clarify --
              MR. COUPE': Sure.
10
11
              MR. BUSH: -- that it's the prosecution's
12
     decision to seek transfer.
              MR. SCROGGS: Exactly.
13
14
              UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Exactly, not --
15
              MR. BUSH: It's the court's decision to
16
     make the transfer.
17
              MR. SCROGGS: Exactly.
18
              UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: That was my
19
     confusion.
              MR. COUPE': Okay. Well, there -- now,
20
21
     that's a better -- there's a -- there are two
22
     issues, I guess.
23
              UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Use the microphone.
24
              MR. COUPE': Okay.
```

UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yes. Thank you.

2.1

MR. COUPE': I guess there are two issues -- it's got to warm up first. There are -- now it's working. There are two issues, I guess. The question initially was the decision to initiate the transfer proceeding, and that is one that is done by the prosecution. The DA's office is the one who would choose to make that case a case for transfer.

Now, once that's done, a court hearing will take place in which the prosecution will present evidence why they believe a child should be transferred to adult court, and the defense will either argue -- and then will try to argue why that child should not be transferred to adult court. And after all the information is taken, then -- yes, then the juvenile court judge hearing the case would weigh that evidence and then make the decision based upon a lot of factors, including whether the child is under any treatment, the nature of the crime, the background of the child and those sorts of things and make that decision on whether or not that child should be transferred.

then make that decision to transfer, but the
process is initiated by the DA's office.

MR. SMITH: I have a question here, a two part question. What measures are being taken to prevent children from entering custody, A? And secondly, do we have or do you have any location to take these children other than juvenile court -- and actually three parts -- and how are those alternative sites selected or chosen?

MR. SCROGGS: That's a very good question. I'll try to answer at least part of that. There are measures in place and measures have been put in place over the last -- actually a four year period now to try to prevent children from coming with law enforcement to the court. In other words, have law enforcement basically have other options for children instead of transporting to juvenile court.

One of those -- and some of you are familiar with the SHAPE program, the School House Adjustment Program Enterprise, which was initiated in 2007 and '08 with Memphis City Schools to provide for adjustment at the school level for certain minor offenses that previously were causing

kids to be transported to the court by law enforcement.

2.0

2.4

Those minor offenses were things that did not involve personal injury to another child or somebody else at the school level, and in -- they began to work with about eight schools in the beginning. We ended up expanding that to 21 Memphis City Schools. It became a very effective thing. In three years, it reduced the number of transports from schools by 52 percent, an incredible amount of reduction.

The second program that we work with law enforcement to -- to put together -- and MPD was very helpful in this -- was what we call the juvenile summons program. Again for seven minor offenses, we encourage law enforcement to please write a juvenile summons and don't transport the child to juvenile court. If you can do so within your discretion and you think that's appropriate, it's much better.

Law enforcement cooperated in that, and again, in a two to three year period, the reduction of transports was dramatic on those same minor offenses, those seven minor offenses that are part

of the juvenile summons program.

2.1

Part of the question had to do with what alternatives do we have in terms of other places to take children. That is one that's bothered us a lot in Shelby County. With almost a million people, we ought to have a safe house or a respite center for some kids that don't need to be in custody, don't need to be in a detention setting. At this point, we have access to some respite beds for kids that may otherwise have come into their hands in the sense of law enforcement due to emergencies, accidents, criminal activity, things of that nature that might have affected their families where they have no place to stay at home.

And so we're working with Porter-Leath at the present time just on a very limited basis to place children in those safe houses. Our compelling need in Shelby County is to have a facility of some type where we can have a safe place where children can be held, properly supervised and all of those things that -- where kids do not have a criminal issue.

Now, you'd be surprised. Law enforcement has a difficult time in those circumstances, and

it's really tough what we ask of law enforcement at times. They have to be very -- all things to all kids sometimes to try to determine what is the -- what can we do with them. In days gone by, I think it stopped about two thousand -- the end of 2006. For many years juvenile court had what was called emergency shelter. That function was taken over by the Department of Children's Services, and that's the only recourse we really have now, is to -- for law enforcement is to really deal with DCS on those emergency situations.

2.1

Plenty of efforts are being done to try to figure out how we can reduce the number of kids brought. Tom mentioned just a moment ago how -- how much of a reduction has actually taken place over a period of a few years. We're seeing, even this year, another significant reduction, so what is happening is law enforcement has bought in to the idea that not all kids need to be transported to juvenile court.

The other thing the court has done is adopt a new procedure that we have kids that are being processed -- if they're brought by law enforcement and we undertake to process the

1 children in the detention center, we don't do so in If we can release the kids 2 a secure area. 3 immediately or within say an hour or so to their 4 parent, we do that without admitting them into 5 detention. And that is again causing a -- you know, another significant reduction. 6 7 Some kids need to be admitted to The children that we have on a daily 8 detention. 9 basis now -- about 45 kids on a daily population 10 basis in the detention center -- are the kids that

have -- are charged with the most serious offenses. Friday, we had four children charged with homicides. One was second degree murder on top of the four. These are -- these are kids that basically need to be there in that setting.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But we don't want children there who don't need to be there, and that's been the focus that we've been really working hard on for the last four years.

MS. HUGHES: Okay. So what the consortium wants you all to understand is you do not have to utilize the cards. We really -- I'm coming to you next -- and we really want to hear from the public. So -- and we want to try to answer all the

```
1
     questions that you all have, so please feel free.
 2
     This is your time to participate. So I'm going
 3
     back here now.
 4
              REVEREND GONZALEZ: Can I make a comment?
                            I think she's been waiting.
 5
              MS. HUGHES:
              REVEREND GONZALEZ: Well, what -- what we
 6
7
     were just talking about --
                           Okay. We're going to get the
 8
              MS. HUGHES:
9
     public first and then we'll come back here.
10
              UNIDENTIFIED MAN:
                                  My question deals more
11
     so with the preventive model that -- on the adult
12
     side, for persons that commit particular offenses,
13
     they would have to go and complete a particular
     course of some sort. My interest and question kind
14
15
     of follows along those lines.
16
              If a juvenile offender, let's say, have -
17
     has a charge with battery or assault or something
     like that, is it possible -- has the Tennessee
18
     law -- does it afford for that type of intervention
19
     for children? And does it support them being put
20
2.1
     into a type of program that they are -- that they
22
     have to complete it in lieu of incarceration like
23
     it is on the adult level?
24
                             I'm going to make a quick
              MR. SCROGGS:
```

statement on that. Yes. The answer is yes. We have an informal adjustment procedure under Tennessee Rules of Juvenile Procedure which permits adjustment without having to file a petition, as Tom mentioned earlier, and creating a record and all those things that really can be problematic down the line.

2.1

We have some programs that we have existing -- that locally exist. Jhukuruin mentioned JIFF. The JIFF program is a great example of a program that does attempt to train, rehabilitate and treat children. There's a program called MARS, Mediation and Restitution Service, I think, that has a similar way to approach kids and giving them some structured treatment or structured training.

Our -- we have the youth court program, which is at our court. We're so proud of it. It involves 11 high schools in the city and county, and that's a peer mediation and restitution program which adjusts minor offenses but doesn't -- does so in a way to end up with the defendant in those cases being mediated rather than incurring a permanent record. And we have about 150 high

school kids and about 40 lawyers who are involved in that program. We're in our third year, and we've become something of a model in the -- in the state.

2.1

I was going to mention one -- I saw

Dr. Altha Stewart of Just Care Family Network. The concept there is to intervene early where problems are noticed within the community, in the neighborhood setting where people come in contact with kids and have issues. The idea is to try to get them resources, some type of providers and help.

Sometimes we at the court are able to refer to Just Care Family Network on just exactly the situation you're speaking of. We have an evaluation referral program which also works in that way, to try to refer kids for anger management, substance abuse and things of that nature. So yes, that's a very desirable objective and one we think that state law certainly does permit.

SENATOR DIXON: Thank you. First of all, let me commend you a little progress -- that I see strong diversity. I hope that will continue. I

have two or three quick questions. One is there was an article in the Commercial Appeal on May 4th. Here's a young, white male from Germantown on vehicle -- and he was sentenced to -- I think it was four years -- no, six -- six years in prison. They are now pulling him out of jail after serving ten months and put an ankle bracelet on him.

The question I'm raising is everybody -
Germantown, Collierville, Arlington, Lakeland -
working under one system? I was told they were,

but I saw in the paper where Germantown's night

court, for example -- are they -- is there a

separate justice system for affluent children that

don't have to go down to juvenile court?

If I live in Germantown and my folks have got a lot of money, do I have to go through juvenile court? Is every child going through this is the question I'm asking.

The second question that I'm asking is are we contracting with African Americans? The young man that spoke this morning, did you see a black judge? Did you see a black psychiatrist? Did you see -- the point is sometimes you feel a little better. There has been a tendency -- we're

beginning to make progress to have this community reflected in contracting, and that's a concern of mine.

The other question is -- I don't know if you saw the report about marijuana. I hope young children are not smoking marijuana because they say black folks are the only ones going to jail, but I wanted to address that too. I hope that report is not right.

MS. HUGHES: Okay.

MR. SCROGGS: I want to try to briefly address Senator Dixon's issue there on the jurisdiction within the municipalities. Four of our Shelby County outlying municipalities have city courts: Bartlett, Collierville, Germantown and Millington. Each of those has juvenile traffic jurisdiction. In other words, traffic offenses committed by drivers under the age of 18. That's the only jurisdiction that they have.

They have no jurisdiction over delinquency offenses. The juvenile court of Memphis and Shelby County is the only place where -- that has jurisdiction over delinquency matters or dependency and neglect matters.

1 What I don't -- I don't know the specifics 2 of Senator Dixon's question about that. 3 that it could be -- I don't know whether that 4 involved a traffic issue or what kind of issue it 5 was, Roscoe. SENATOR DIXON: A vehicle, a stolen car. 6 MR. SCROGGS: A vehicle stolen. 7 That. would have been a delinquency offense. 8 It sounds 9 as if it might have been a transferred case to the 10 adult system possibly. Let's see. 11 MR. COUPE': He was 19. 12 MR. SCROGGS: Okay. I do remember this 13 article. This was a six year prison sentence, which means it had to be a transferred case in the 14 15 adult system, so whatever happened here in this 16 case involves treatment in the adult system. 17 The marijuana -- marijuana, by the way, is 18 one of those -- simple possession is one of those 19 minor offenses that we encourage the use of juvenile summons on, on that simple possession 20 2.1 so --22 SENATOR DIXON: The last thing is contracting, contracting with African Americans --23 24 MR. SCROGGS: Yeah.

SENATOR DIXON: -- about some of the services.

2.1

2.4

MR. SCROGGS: There is sometimes a little bit of a misconception about how much money there is available for contracts. The court -- the court's budget, which is county funding primarily -- there's a little bit of grant money from the State of Tennessee. I say a little bit -- about 1.5 to 1.7 million from the state.

But the rest of the court's about 15 million dollar budget is county funded. Within that, as in most government, something like 80 percent -- 75 to 80 percent is personnel. In terms of the overall numbers. So what we have is the remaining part of our budget is operating and maintenance, 0 and M.

Everything else that we have to do within our operation comes from that portion of the budget, so if we have to enter into a contract for a medical provider, we contract through that O and M line. We do have a -- one -- there's one example of a contract with an African American pediatrician that's been in practice for many years. He's had the contract with the court for about 20 years.

We have limited -- very limited other types of contracts. In fact, we don't have money to pay even like Jhukuruin's JIFF program. We don't pay them anything for the kids that we refer to them. That's -- those are private donations primarily that fund those programs. So our -- our numbers are -- the numbers are really low.

Now, we were -- visited Chicago recently, and I know it has multimillions of dollars that they devote where the court itself actually is contracting for services. In Shelby County, we don't have that.

MS. HUGHES: We do have some written questions, so we're going to go to those, and then I'm coming back there. And also, we do have a court reporter, so if you feel comfortable -- it's not a requirement, but if you feel comfortable identifying yourself before you give your question, we'd ask that you do that. Dr. Thomas?

DR. THOMAS: Good morning. I'm doctor -good afternoon rather. I'm Dorothy J. Thomas, and
we have several questions from the -- from the
audience. The first question is: How did you
choose the people sitting on the consortium and the

1 DMC? 2 Before I field this question to Attorney 3 Willis, I will answer part of it, and then I will 4 field it to him. The first part of it is there was a combination of members from the juvenile justice 5 board that were already in place and then there was 6 7 a selection of members or appointees from the mayor, who were confirmed by the commissioners. 8 9 That group was a specific group that had to be --10 two parents had to be represented, two young 11 people, one of which you've met today, that had a 12 history with juvenile court and then, of course, 13 the others were community -- with specific or a 14 varied array of experiences. 15 I would field that second part to Attorney 16 Willis. 17 MR. WILLIS: Thank you so much, 18 Dr. Thomas. Actually you've done a very good job 19 of responding to it. Can you hear me or do I need to have a mike? 20 21 VARIOUS UNIDENTIFIED PERSONS: 22 MR. WILLIS: I'm just trying to keep from 23 having to move around. Thank you. Dr. Thomas, 24 you've responded to that very, very well. Ιn

this -- those notebooks there -- and this is a public document. It's available. The members of the consortium -- there are nine -- or eight, actually, and Dr. Freda Williams will make nine -- are the mayor's nominees to this consortium.

2.1

The eight of them were approved by the county commission on February 25, 2013. There's a resolution that approves the mayor's nominations. As stated the resolution sets forth what the criteria are for these representatives and that -- it -- the resolution says they are to be reflective of the cultural and ethnic diversity of the county, to include no less than two parents of children who have had delinquency matters before the juvenile court, a person under the age of 21 who has direct contact with juvenile court and community advocates.

These names were listed in a resolution they came before the commission. The commission approved that, and those are the -- that's how nine of the members of the consortium were done. The others are part of the juvenile court justice board, and this is pursuant to the memorandum of agreement with the Department of Justice.

1 DR. THOMAS: Thank you. Part 2 of the question was -- and the -- the DMC. 2 3 MR. SCROGGS: The coordinator? 4 DR. THOMAS: Yes. MR. SCROGGS: The DMC coordinator? 5 6 DR. THOMAS: Coordinator, yes. 7 MR. WILLIS: The DMC coordinator position is required by the memorandum of agreement and 8 9 Mayor Luttrell appointed Lisa Hill for that 10 position, and he did so immediately, sooner than 11 was required by the memorandum of agreement. And 12 so that's how that position was filled. DR. THOMAS: Okay. Attorney Willis, you 13 14 can still remain standing for this one. I'm trying 15 to get through it. "Can community members attend 16 and/or join the consortium, " if I'm reading that 17 correctly? 18 MR. WILLIS: Let me just say that the 19 reason that you are here right now is because we want you to participate, and I'm not feeling a 20 2.1 participation. We don't want anybody to leave out 22 of here saying that you did not have an opportunity 23 to speak, to ask a question or to participate. 24 This is your time.

This is one reason why we try to adhere to our time table, and we don't want you to leave here today without asking a question or participating.

And we have these meetings -- this is the first one. The memorandum of agreement requires that there be at least two every year, but I'm confident that there will be more than that. But this part of the meeting is for you.

We have these cards that are available, but we are -- the cards are not so that you can't come to the mike. We want you to use this mike.

2.1

but we are -- the cards are not so that you can't come to the mike. We want you to use this mike.

If you don't feel comfortable using a mike, then we have the cards.

MR. SMITH: There was also a second part,
Mr. Willis, about joining. Can you speak to that?

MR. WILLIS: The members of the consortium

are set forth in the memorandum of agreement, so that's designated. The mayor's appointees have two year terms. When those terms have been fulfilled, there will be a notice, as there was before, posted on the county web site. And if you are interested, since the nominees are nominees of the mayor, please make your interest known to the mayor.

And those -- the mayor's nominees will

come before the commission, just as these nine nominees did.

2.1

MR. COUPE': I have one quick thing to add. There's one thing that I -- that I should have brought up a little while earlier. And this is part of the -- with the consortium we've discussed already, is that this meeting is required by the DOJ. Now, we want to have more meetings that aren't required.

We want to get into specific parts of the community and get into individual -- individual neighborhoods and figure out what's going on and hear more from you and be more community minded.

So this is -- when you speak, if you wouldn't mind saying where you think the next meeting should be held -- I think right now we're talking to

Jhukuruin and Frayser is one area that we want to have a more designated, a more targeted meeting.

So obviously if Frayser is the idea, we've already got that one.

Anywhere else -- and if you have any recommendation that -- there is the contact information for all of us. So if you have any idea where you think is a good location for the next

meeting to be, where a -- what part of the city, any community leaders in your area that would be good to contact to get more information out, I'd love that also. So keep that in mind, but that's one of the things. This meeting here is required with -- but this is kind of the kickoff, I think, to a bigger idea of having more community meetings. UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Excuse me. Marjorie (inaudible). One of the questions I have in terms of demographics -- in terms of the rate of juveniles in this community -- in a particular community and that way we can know what a target area should be. MR. COUPE': Sorry. We're getting sidetracked, but actually Dr. Thomas and I were having a discussion about targeting -- one of the ways that we really can focus on this is looking at where the most children come from in our detention

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

last week with Tracy -- Tracy Wolfe, who is our JDAI coordinator. They had a detention listing of children based upon their Zip codes.

center. And actually, at -- I was at a meeting

And, number one, most -- most children

```
1
     detained last month were from the Frayser area.
     And then right behind that, the second ZIP code, I
 2
 3
     believe, was either -- was Hickory Hill.
                                                Is that
 4
     right, Tracy?
5
                     (No response.)
              MR. COUPE': And so that's going to also
 6
7
     give us a better idea of where to target -- this
     information, where the kids are.
 8
                                        So Frayser --
9
     again, we've already thought about that one.
10
     kind of think that's a good place to start, but
11
     we're going to look around and have these target
12
     meetings to kind of -- where it really hits home.
13
              UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN:
                                    Thank you.
14
              MR. COUPE': Thank you very much.
                                                  Sorry
15
     for getting sidetracked.
16
              DR. THOMAS: Now, this question -- and
17
     please -- if it's your question and I'm not reading
18
     it correctly, please let me know. This one says,
19
     "Is there any plan to change staff in juvenile
     court? It might be what is needed to change
20
2.1
     community opinions of juvenile court and instill
22
     trust in the system."
23
              MR. COUPE':
                            I'll take it.
24
                            Okay. Who is going to take
              DR. THOMAS:
```

1 Who is here? that? 2 MS. HUGHES: Larry is gone. 3 MR. COUPE': I'm not a policy maker at the 4 court. I do work there. But I will say this. This is one thing I think that's really important 5 to understand. One of the -- my favorite books 6 7 that I've read is a book by Malcolm Gladwell, and he talks about becoming proficient in a subject. 8 And he notes that he looks at a different -- a 9 10 difference -- looks at athletes and scientists and 11 researchers and all people from all walks of life. 12 And he finds that it take about 10,000 13 hours of doing something to become really, really 14 good at it. So the idea that certain people can be 15 replaced and someone else can be brought in to do 16 something that this -- in this area which requires 17 so much expertise, it's -- it's just not that easy. 18 And I'm not going to make any policy 19 statements about who should come and who should go, but what I do know is that this work is tough 20 2.1 work. I've been practicing juvenile law for ten 22 years now. I'm certified as a child welfare law 23 expert in Shelby County and in the State of 24 Tennessee, also nationally certified. But it took

me a long, long time to get up to speed in juvenile
law and understand what's really going on.

2.1

And Professor (inaudible) from the
University of Memphis School of Law is here also.
And I think she can attest that juvenile law is not something you can kind of walk into and -- and kind of go off-the-cuff. This is -- this is very serious law. This is very, very serious and dealing with the lives of children that will one day be the adults of our community, the tax base of our community, the future of our community.

And we want to make sure that the people in charge -- that people who are doing things have a good understanding of all the processes that go on, of all the services that are there, of all the past things that they've done, they've understood all the mistakes they've made in the past that they can make better this time. And it's a really, really important thing.

So that's kind of where I stand in terms of what that -- that part is.

MS. WHITE: Good afternoon. My name is Donna (phonetically) White. It's really tight in here today; okay? And that more than anything

troubles me, but I think that, you know, since this is a beginning process, maybe it's -- that's just, you know, the nature of any beginning process. But what I want to say is this -- is I've come to -- to the meetings since this issue has been brought to light through the Department of Justice and -- and Ms. Henri Brooks.

2.1

And there's a lot of -- and I know it's necessary, but there's been a lot of legal talking, you know, and -- and the legal papers and what the requirements are and that type of thing. But as a community member -- and I have said this before and I will continue to say it in every meeting I come to. As a community member what I am looking for and hoping for is some real plain talking and some real plain accountability to the community about what is happening with our children in the community.

Mr. Smith, you said that the primary role is to -- of the consortium is to solicit input, and I think you said to receive and solicit input from the community. I would -- I sincerely hope and pray that an added part of that is to provide to the community about what is happening in plain

1 | speak.

2.1

With all due respect to Mr. Coupe', he is a -- you know, he's a lawyer, very knowledgeable.

I'm college educated, but I'm having to listen with all four ears, you know, to just really -- you know what I'm saying -- grasp all of this stuff. And I really want you guys to give us something.

I know it's hard. It's legal, so I know it's hard. We need something as a community we can take back and chew on, that we can take back and share with moms, single parent moms that we work with and -- and dads about what's going on and what the progress is that is taking place.

Now, that's my comment. This is my question. Is it possible -- the PowerPoint facts that Mr. Coupe' used during today, can we get some of that information? You gave some good data on that, you know, that --

MR. COUPE': Yes.

MS. WHITE: -- that talked about progress and it talked about kids who had come through the system. These other programs that the juvenile court is working with, Mr. Scroggs talked about the SHAPE program and MARS and all these other

programs -- community programs that are going on for kids. There needs to be some feedback to the community as to the effectiveness of the programs, how many kids are being referred to the programs.

2.1

And he also said that there's very little access to beds outside of juvenile court. Well, how is that being addressed, you know, other than the juvenile court building another building kind of thing, you know, or sending people just to Porter-Leath? There are agencies out here in the community who are willing to work with our children, but there needs to be that interface with them and an openness, Consortium Members, to really knowing what's available and -- and knowing the progress.

Please give us some plain language
talk about how many kids are coming into the system
or coming into contact with the court and do it on
a regular basis. I mean, once every three months;
okay? We understand it's a long-term process to
change some stuff, but keep us updated and let us
know that you're not afraid to share the ugly stuff
and the hard stuff. But you're also going to
show -- you know, we just want to know that

progress is being made.

2.1

MR. SMITH: Very good. Ma'am, thank you so very, very much for your questions and your commentary. I would like to respond briefly and succinctly to just a couple of them. First and foremost, I, coupled with the majority of my colleagues here, were recently appointed by Mayor Mark Luttrell in February.

Subsequent to that, we went before the county commission, in which at least one of those members of the commission are -- is in our presence this afternoon. We were provided this manual. I mean, this makes for some very, very good reading. You understand? There's a whole -- there's just a lot of work to be done here and no smoke screen.

And just to let you know a little bit about my background, I've been involved with youth related issues and the criminal justice system for the past 20 years nationally. So the only dog I have in the fight is the interest of our children. And I think also -- to piggyback onto that comment, can attest to the character and the interest of the consortium.

My final piece, I would like -- because we

need your kind of enthusiasm absolutely. 90

percent of the work is often done by 10 percent of
the people. Having said that, I'd like to get your
name and your number and -- and we're going to be
establishing some very special committees. And
it's not going to be fluff behind these committees,
but we're going to do substantive work surrounding
several of the comments you made.

2.1

My final piece is some of the questions that you asked relative to demographics and statistics, I'm still learning that myself so I can't speak to that. Perhaps Mr. Scroggs, Mr. Willis or Mr. Coupe' could speak more comprehensively to that.

MS. SPENCER-MCGEE: Good afternoon. I'm

Karen Spencer-McGee. I represent a group of people
in south Memphis, and now I am also privileged to
be with the Hispanic community over in the Nutbush
area. Where my children keep me Facebook and

Twitter savvy, most of my constituents cannot use
computers. So when you're talking about how do you
reach these people, can we please come up with a
plan? Because when I take that 68 page document
and make them understand that this is 68 pages of

1 criminal activity over the last 40 years -- okay -where I understand the language, they don't, you 2 3 And that's another part of the "isms," 4 classism and all that. I bless God that our Commissioner Brooks 5 had the oversight and the insight to say, "Let's do 6 7 something about this." (Applause.) 8 9 MS. SPENCER-MCGEE: I've been home eight 10 years, and if she's not a fighter, a girl 11 fighter -- you know, a fight with a girl is a good 12 fight, you know. So I just bless God because if 13 it's happening in Shelby County, come on, y'all, 14 what's happening in Fayette and Madison and 15 Hardeman County, in those rural counties where we 16 don't have a bunch of people that will get up and 17 say, "Wait a minute, no, no." And, Commissioner Brooks, I don't say the 18 19 other word but it's (inaudible). But there's just some things that are going on that the Father is 20 2.1 not pleased with, y'all. You know, if you've got 22 the Department of Justice in your business, you'd 23 better get it right. 24 My name is Karen Spencer-McGee. I fight

We're

1 like a girl too, and I have seven daughters and one And I've got seven grandbabies, and I decree 2 3 in the name of Jesus that this is going to be 4 fixed. And they won't be a part of that ugly system that happened when I wasn't here. 5 Thank you. So how do we get these people 6 to the table that this is most affecting, because 7 the ones with the ABC's and EFG's behind their 8 9 names, they're not the ones that are out there. 10 (Whereupon, numerous people made 11 overlapping comments that could not 12 be taken down.) 13 DR. THOMAS: Let me just address that. am just extremely moved. And I believe as a member 14 15 of the consortium, I have maybe just a teeny-weeny 16 bit of a right to -- to say a word. I am just so 17 moved and impressed by the statement that you made, and sometimes, of course, I agree with everything 18 19 you said and the other young woman who talked about communication. 20 2.1 One on the reasons that we are here is 22 because of the lack of transparency and the lack of

communication. And this consortium is -- we're not

about trying to -- I'll just use the word.

23

24

1 just not trying to dazzle with brilliance, and I'll leave the rest of that alone as well, but we are 2 3 trying to make sure that you are aware of what is 4 going on because we know you do. And you're The DOJ is all in the business. 5 And when -- and someone asked the question 6 7 about change. Well, you know when you've got -- as my kids used to say, when you've got papa bear 8 9 looking over you, see, some things are going to 10 change because it must change. In terms of making 11 sure that people know what you're talking about: 12 Number one, Houston, we've got a problem. 13 two, Houston, we need to tighten up -- tighten up our own game. Number three, Houston, we understand 14 15 that we have some problems in our community with 16 our children. 17 We know that. We feel that, and we know -- and we communicate. Houston, we're going 18 19 to take care of our community because we're going to keep you informed of how to take care of your 20 21 community. 22 PASTOR WILLIAMS: Amen. 23 DR. THOMAS: We're going to keep the 24 community --

1	PASTOR WILLIAMS: Amen.
2	(Applause.)
3	DR. THOMAS: (inaudible) from out of
4	the things that we need to know to do. Nobody can
5	do it better than we can do it. The Henri Brookses
6	of the world are very far and few.
7	(Applause.)
8	DR. THOMAS: So we have to support those
9	who support what we do, and we need to do that. No
10	blame, no shame, no blame. Step up. Take care.
11	Ask the questions. And then who's accountable?
12	Hold those accountable. Hold me accountable. If I
13	don't say it in a way you want me to say it, then
14	you tell me how to say it so you can understand
15	it. I have no problem with that.
16	We are here for you. We are here to share
17	with juvenile court that system what you say
18	and to make sure that what they say and give us, we
19	give back to you. That's it, and that's all.
20	(Applause.)
21	PASTOR WILLIAMS: All right. Well said.
22	Well said.
23	MS. BROOKS: Are you done?
24	DR. THOMAS: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BROOKS: Thank you very much, and thank you all for presenting this -- holding --2 3 hosting this public meeting. It is very much 4 appreciated. I have -- first of all, I'd like to follow up on two of the questions. The first one 5 is relative to safe houses and intervention, and I 6 7 did hear the very lengthy answer that Attorney 8 Scroggs gave. 9 I'd like to add to that I am intimately 10 aware that here in our city there are some 11 organizations. And I will call the names. The 12 Tennessee Learning Center, better known as Damascus 13 Road, located over on Joy Lane --14 (Applause.) 15 MS. BROOKS: -- has been trying desperately to partner, to enter into a 16 17 conversation with juvenile court about a pre-adjudication safe house, if you will, 18 19 pre-adjudication beds for children. They have 20 plenty of space over there. They have been 21 unsuccessful in getting a conversation, getting the 22 door open to have that conversation with juvenile 23 court. 24 And I hope after this, since now the

1 juvenile court consortium and this consortium, which can be an advocate, is aware -- and not only 2 3 do we have the Tennessee Learning Center, we also 4 have -- the name is escaping me. Damascus Road and there is also one on Austin Peay -- Austin Peay? 5 (Whereupon, numerous people made 6 7 overlapping comments that could not be taken down.) 9 MS. BROOKS: Youth Dimensions. So there 10 is no -- there is no drought, if you will, of safe 11 houses or intervention programs, and these are 12 culturally cognitive programs. 13 Now, the next question I'd like to follow up on has to do with the question that dealt with 14 15 participation and these consortium meetings and will they all -- will they be open to the public. 16 Now I know this one was referenced in the 17 18 memorandum of agreement, but the question was --19 the way I understood it, what I heard was: 20 all of the consortium meetings be open to the 2.1 public? That's what I heard. 22 Can we get that answered, Mr. Willis? 23 Will all of the consortium meetings be open to the 24 public?

```
1
              MS. HUGHES: I'll be back. Ill be back.
 2
              MR. WILLIS: Consortium Members, I don't
 3
     think you have a problem with anybody from the
 4
     public attending any time they want to?
              DR. THOMAS: No, sir. Never.
5
 6
              MR. SMITH: They'll be posted.
7
              DR. THOMAS: When we have meetings, it
     will be -- it will be posted on the web site.
8
9
              UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN:
                                    The court web site
10
     or --
11
              DR. THOMAS: Shelby County web site, yes,
12
     just like --
13
                     (Whereupon, numerous people made
14
                     overlapping comments that could not
15
                     be taken down.)
16
              DR. THOMAS: Juvenile court --
17
              MR. WILLIS:
                           There is a web site for --
18
              DR. THOMAS:
                           The juvenile court
     consortium -- juvenile justice. I'm sorry.
19
     have a web site.
20
2.1
              MR. SMITH: Let me speak a little bit more
22
     to that. We have just formed several of these.
23
     Like, for example, it's only a few weeks ago that
24
     the consortium members names were posted to the --
```

to the web site. And then it's been two weeks that
this meeting and some background data regarding the
memorandum of agreement was also posted.

2.1

So give us a little bit of time. We're going to do precisely that. Give us approximately three weeks to a month -- and you can quote me on this -- and we will have all of our meetings posted on the county -- on the Shelby County web site.

And thank you very much.

MS. BROOKS: And that's -- that's really good to post those on the county web site, but I think what we -- we need to try to do -- and let me just offer as a suggestion -- think of some creative ways to make the public noticed, if you will, or notice the public about your meetings. That's important. Because if you post it on the web site, a number of people may miss it.

So just -- let's think of some creative ways we can get that out. And commissioners represent constituents, and we all have districts. There are 13 of us, and I'm sure if you sent us the notice, we'd be happy to get that out.

Now, lastly --

MR. SMITH: Is that what you're

1 recommending, Commissioner? MS. BROOKS: In addition to some other 2 3 ways. I'm just offering that. Let me just say 4 that I cannot -- I cannot commit the other 12. Ι 5 can commit myself. 6 DR. THOMAS: Okay. 7 MS. BROOKS: Okay. I have -- I can answer LT. COL. WILLIAMS: 9 that specifically. I have one example of an -- of 10 a way to get information out that has already been 11 done and it will continue to be done. There are 12 nine precincts, police precincts, that each have a neighborhood watch coordinator. And the 13 neighborhood watch coordinator in each precinct 14 15 networks with multiple neighborhood watch groups 16 all over the city and the county. 17 And that will be going out to them through that network as well. They have regular meetings 18 19 at the precincts every month. So that's just one way of getting information out other than the web 20 21 site. 22 MS. BROOKS: Okay. And that's great, and 23 I think we should utilize multiple ways so that we 24

can maximize the notice to the community. This --

this room should be absolutely packed. Let me just say to you the reason why is that we do have now the due process monitor's report here. It came in July 6th, the due process monitor, the one -- the -- the Department of Justice paid monitor.

This person is paid to monitor the compliance. This is the information we need, what the -- the due process -- the people paid by the justice department has to say about how juvenile court isn't complying with the memorandum of agreement. We need to hear from the folks paid by the DOJ, the people not under the control of the juvenile court, not dependent or influenced by any higher-ups in juvenile court.

So let me just say this. Let me just say this, and I'll be done. The due process monitor's report is out, and I think they have a copy up there. You need to read that. The equal protection monitor's report is not published yet. It has not been published, and I can't understand why.

And with all due respect, let me just ask why did we not wait to hold this meeting when we received and had the opportunity to let the public

1 know that we have the due process monitor's report 2 in, we have the equal protection monitor's report 3 in and this is the information we're going to 4 explain to you, because this is what the public needs to know, what they said? 5 MR. COUPE': 6 The reason --7 Now, I've read -- I'll give MS. BROOKS: 8 it to you when I'm done. Excuse me. I've read 9 that report and -- and -- and again, I was very, 10 very concerned about the due process monitor's 11 comments regarding transfer hearings. I had my 12 concerns prior to the report, Mr. Bush, but after 13 reading that report, I am very concerned about that 14 now. 15 So I'm just saying that this is good to 16 have this meeting, but we need some substantive 17 information about how you're complying, if you're 18 doing the job you're supposed to be doing. 19 you very much. 20 DR. THOMAS: Are you going to take 2.1 another --22 MS. HUGHES: Yes. He's been waiting. Ι 23 overlooked him. I'm going to go here (indicating) 24 and then to the woman in the aisle in the blue and

1 then over to the back, then over to the side of the room if you all will allow me. 2 3 PASTOR HARRIS: Pastor Fred Harris. And I 4 appreciate those of you -- the members of the 5 consortium. MR. WILLIS: 6 Just one second. I'm sorry. 7 Just one -- just one second just so we can be looking, the due process monitor's process report 9 is in here. Anybody that wants these things -- and 10 the ones that were here might have already been 11 passed out. Did anybody still need a copy of the 12 due process monitor's report? It's right here. All the documents we've talked about are right 13 14 here, right in this notebook; okay? 15 While you're asking questions, look 16 through these. That's why they are here, for you 17 to look at; okay? All right. And then we have --18 earlier on, we passed out the due process monitor's 19 report; okay? Does anybody need a copy? 20 are if you need to look at them. Okay. Come on and get it. Thank you. 2.1 22 PASTOR HARRIS: Can I say this to you? 23 Will y'all have condensed forms of that that --24 just dealing with the issues, because a lot of it,

1 we ain't going to really need to know. We can read maybe there or -- or otherwise, would y'all have 2 3 condensed forms, the deal with the -- yeah, the 4 major issues? DR. THOMAS: I think you're talking about 5 bullet points, if I'm understanding you correctly, 6 of some of the major things. We do have a document 7 that is in bullet point format. Mr. Coupe', if I 9 might, there's something like that that can be --10 you know, be assisted with -- to provide -- you 11 know, just kind of concrete but less overwhelming, 12 just kind of a -- just bullet points in a nut 13 shell, real simple language, can we do that? MR. COUPE': Yeah. The -- the monitor's 14 15 report -- as Commissioner Brooks referenced, the 16 due process monitor's report has been released. 17 And in her report, she does summarize in the back 18 about a five page report. That's available. 19 That's available right now on the juvenile court web site. It's available on the county web 20 2.1 site. You can access it with the juvenile court 22 Facebook page, and juvenile court will actually 23 have some on hand at the court itself. 24 you're ever -- if you ever are in the area, you can

```
1
     come to the ambassador's station on the first
 2
     floor, and they'll have copies of that report.
 3
              The equal protection report is not out
 4
           It will be out, but the DOJ memorandum of
     yet.
     agreement, I'm sure as we all know, had required us
5
     to have this hearing by June 17th, and we had to
 6
     schedule it ahead of time to make sure we had room
7
                 So we had to have this hearing by the
 8
     and space.
9
     17th, so if we didn't have it by the 17th, we would
10
     have been out of compliance.
11
              We waited as long as we could to get some
12
     sort of indication from both the due process
13
     monitor and equal protection monitor that their
     reports would be released. We weren't -- we did
14
15
     not get any indication when they would be released,
16
     so we had to have this hearing. That's why it was
17
     held prior to the monitor's report being done,
     because we had to do it and we couldn't wait around
18
19
     for them; all right?
20
              PASTOR HARRIS: Fantastic. Could you post
2.1
     your e-mail address for us?
22
              MR. COUPE': Yes, sir. It's up there.
23
     They'll --
24
              PASTOR HARRIS:
                               That's it?
```

1 MR. COUPE': Can you go --2 PASTOR HARRIS: All right. 3 DR. THOMAS: Before we move to the -- do 4 you have your next question, sir? PASTOR HARRIS: Yes, I have another --5 MR. COUPE': Yes, sir. Go ahead, please. 6 PASTOR HARRIS: Well, I'm making sure. 7 What I'm interested in is we -- as citizens in the 8 9 community, we need to be informed by those of you 10 that -- that have information and like those --11 like the -- what Commissioner Brooks said, we need 12 to be informed on maybe -- maybe a three month basis or at least something in that area, because 13 we have some issues in this community. 14 15 Being a pastor and with the juvenile system and also working 15 years in one of our 16 17 facilities here and someone got this wrong. I feel 18 like they -- they didn't have no programs to deal 19 with the children that wasn't hard criminals. just put them in there just like a whole batch of 20 2.1 potatoes or something and they stayed there until 22 they spoiled. 23 In other words, what I'm saying is until 24 the hard kids corrupt them, and I feel like they

never should have been in there in that
environment. And we always talk about somebody's
associate or employee and we need other facilities,
and I was trying to see what we've been doing as
far as a city of this capacity and size. I think
we need to do more.

2.1

And as far as dealing with those that is -- have all the positions, that's fine, but I feel like we need secondary individuals working with you all on the basics. You all have to stay within the ramifications of the law, but what we do -- we're in the real nitty-gritty with these individuals, with these criminals. They come by our churches.

PASTOR WILLIAMS: All right.

PASTOR HARRIS: I had some come by the churches, and we have affected them positively.

And some of them, we didn't have the programs.

They just had -- they just left us. But I said it doesn't take that much, but it takes a lot of concern and love from all of the constituents of this community in Memphis. And no one is exempted, and no one's job in the community is small or large.

1	We've got we've got to get rid of that
2	mentality because that's holding us up from
3	progressing for our children because our children
4	is the future not going to be, is. And God
5	wants us to do more.
6	PASTOR WILLIAMS: Amen. Amen.
7	(Whereupon, numerous people made
8	overlapping comments that could not
9	be taken down.)
10	(Applause.)
11	PASTOR WILLIAMS: (Inaudible) to respond
12	about the children other than the bickering and I'm
13	in agreement.
14	DR. THOMAS: Amen. Before we move,
15	Reverend Gonzalez has had a comment that we were
16	trying to get her up for to do that a long time
17	ago so we would be remiss if we did not.
18	REVEREND GONZALEZ: The first thing I want
19	to say is this is a privilege to be here, but I
20	also want you to know that we need your help.
21	Everybody is saying we have to do everything. We
22	need the community. It takes a it takes a
23	village to raise a child.
24	And one of the most important things is

1 that we are doing something. There is another team out there called the Juvenile Detention 2 3 Alternatives Initiative group. We are meeting all 4 the time. We're trying to find alternative places for children to be taken so they do not cross the 5 doors of juvenile court. 6 7 One of these things -- and we have put out a call through actually the police department and 9 others for churches to get involved. How many 10 churches -- I was in a group of 1200 pastors. 11 was actually the only white woman among 1200 12 pastors. We put out a call, "What churches can be 13 used or may be interested in becoming a place where 14 children could go at night or some sort of, you 15 know, night center where, when a child is in 16 trouble, they can go there and things can be 17 resolved?" 18 This is in the works. We are working on 19 this now. Everything seems to be slow and take a

This is in the works. We are working on this now. Everything seems to be slow and take a long time, but we are working on this so that -- that, if at all possible, children will never have to go to juvenile court. That's the dream. Thank you.

20

2.1

22

23

24

MR. WILLIS: Before anybody walks out,

```
1
     make sure, please, that you've signed this sign-in
     sheet. One of you was talking about being informed
 2
 3
     about upcoming meetings. We want to be able to
 4
     communicate with you. So if you didn't -- when you
     come in if you didn't sign the sheet, please do.
5
                           So you don't think I've
 6
              MS. HUGHES:
7
     forgotten you, I'm going to do her and then you and
     then I'm coming over there. So this is my first
8
                                      I'm doing the best
9
     time being a sergeant at arms.
10
     I can.
11
                             I think that your voice is
              Bear with me.
12
     very important. I will come to you.
13
              MS. TYLER: You're doing a good job.
              MS. HUGHES: Thank you. And I forgot
14
15
           See, I still forgot somebody.
     you.
16
              MS. TYLER: It's all good. Hi, my name is
17
     Betty Patrick Tyler and, huh, it took a lot to sit
     here because, first of all, I have to repent. I'm
18
19
     the former president of the West Tennessee Victims'
     Rights Task Force, and when I saw that after all
20
2.1
     this service I did, that we still had not awakened,
22
     it was devastating.
23
              I went into corporate America.
                                               I worked
24
     ten years for Federal Express, and then I learned a
```

1 little more. I was saying all that to say this. I I became injured and came out to 2 gave him a card. 3 rehabilitate. I am differently abled, but I am 4 very much equipped. I am here to serve, which means that this is over. I'm not saying it in me 5 myself because, see, the problem we've had is that 6 7 we have not had management. We have not had accountability, and we have not had the unity. 8 9 You have to know the culture of my 10 Memphis. Thank you, Memphis State. I am a Tiger. 11 I went to the University of Memphis to create a 12 degree. I accepted the role as health chair for 13 Dr. King's organization for a purpose. I'm saying all of that for a reason. 14 15 We're not doing rework. We don't have time. 16 thank our representative because I have walked 17 around her as a advocate and I have, from afar, admired her. But not one time did she disappoint 18 19 me, and so I stand here today repenting for lobbying for juveniles to be treated as adults 20 2.1 when, as a society, we don't treat adults as 22 adults. 23 (Whereupon, numerous people made 24 overlapping comments that could not

1	be taken down.)
2	MS. TYLER: So we're going to hold these
3	children I can say this because Judge Brown
4	himself looked me in my face and he said, "Betty
5	you're wrong. You are wrong. You go in those
6	prison systems and you turn your people around."
7	He said, "That's what you do."
8	So when you said about the business
9	that's why I didn't sit there I needed everybody
10	to speak first because I'm tired of talking. See,
11	if you're not ready to move, I don't want to play
12	with you. I call it play. I created that at the
13	University of Memphis. It is to finish Dr. King's
14	beloved community and that whatever committee
15	you need me on, you have to know the demographics.
16	You have I'm ordained. You have to
17	know the language of the pastors. You're not going
18	to get anywhere.
19	(Whereupon, numerous people made
20	overlapping comments that could not
21	be taken down.)
22	MS. TYLER: You have to know the language
23	of the community. I'm from Frayser. You're not
24	going to get anywhere. If you don't speak the

```
1
     language of my Hispanic and Latino people, you're
 2
     not getting anywhere.
 3
              So I'm going to ask you -- yes, thank you
 4
     for this first initial meeting, but I'm going to
     ask you to set your calendars for whoever we
5
     together can get for you to continue every week
 6
7
     because everyday we deal with trauma in our
     households.
8
9
                      (Whereupon, numerous people made
10
                     overlapping comments that could not
11
                     be taken down.)
12
              MS. TYLER: If you're not up for that
13
     game, I don't want to play. I'll stay seated.
14
     Thank you.
15
                      (Whereupon, numerous people made
16
                     overlapping comments that could not
17
                     be taken down.)
              PASTOR WILLIAMS: Well said. Well said.
18
19
                            Well, I don't know if I want
              DR. STEWART:
     to follow you and Commissioner Brooks.
20
21
     Dr. Altha Stewart, and I'm the director of a
22
     program that was mentioned earlier by Mr. Scroggs,
23
     Just Care Family Network.
24
              As one of the programs -- and I think it's
```

1	important, like you, to put this in context. We're
2	a federally funded grant program. We were
3	established primarily because of issues in juvenile
4	court with respect to children with mental health
5	problems who are being captured in the court
6	instead of being provided treatment.
7	(Whereupon, numerous people made
8	overlapping comments that could not
9	be taken down.)
10	DR. STEWART: And so by way of full
11	disclosure and transparency, I need for everyone
12	here to understand that. While I'm here as a
13	public citizen with a concern about the issue, I'm
14	primarily here on behalf of the children and the
15	families that they come from who have been captured
16	in the court, who have mental health problems
17	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Yes.
18	DR. STEWART: and who are still
19	struggling with those problems.
20	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Yes.
21	DR. STEWART: We're in the midst of
22	creating partnerships with one of the units in the
23	court, the evaluation and referral unit, as
24	Mr. Scroggs has said. We regularly accept
24	Mr. Scroggs has said. We regularly accept

referrals from that unit of children who either are in the court system now or are entering the system, and we're trying to keep them from going, as someone said, further into the system.

2.1

And there are a couple of points that I would like to encourage and then a question for the -- the consortium to ponder. If we are going to have this information available for the general community to understand and to act on, it has got to be broken down into better, simpler language. We are required by the feds to have all of our written material at the third grade reading level.

I would encourage the consortium to consider how you can summarize all of this very good information into the language that people in the community can understand and then work with you when you're asking for their input, because they would have understood it. I would also encourage that any committees, groups or anything else that is established -- that you stick with the -- the procedure that's already in place of always having representatives from families who have gone through the court system and the youth themselves who are currently in the system like -- or coming out of

1 the system like Mr. Corley. 2 (Applause.) 3 PASTOR WILLIAMS: Well said. DR. STEWART: The final thing I would 4 5 encourage is that those special populations who are captured in the court system now, whether there's 6 7 mental health, substance abuse or other challenges that they face, separate and apart from whatever 9 offense that brought them into the court -- that 10 you make use of those of us who are in the 11 community. You've heard some of them. 12 We've recently begun discussions with 13 Damascus Road about some partnerships. routinely work with the mental health centers and 14 15 the substance abuse treatment centers. But we're a 16 federally funded grant program. We'll be out of

In order for some of the things that we've started to remain, we're going to need to assure that the reason that we came into being, which was because children with mental health problems were being captured in the court -- that those things stay in a primary way as part of the focus going forward, because there's still those issues that

here in terms of federal funds next fall.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

we're having to deal with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Now my question is in the context of meeting the terms of the agreement as it relates to harm reduction, are there some particular mental health policies, procedure -- procedural issues that we can be helpful with, as one of those resources in the community, to the consortium? We're already engaged in discussions with the court, but are there some areas that you all are going to be looking for some support from programs like ours in the community where we can be helpful and where we would offer our services as an already in existence program that already works with children and families who've had contact with the court and who have the wrong set of concerns about how these problems are dealt with in the youth that we work with?

DR. THOMAS: One of the things that I want to first of all just speak -- just respond to that briefly. The first thing is that everything that you said, especially as it relates to behavioral health -- that's one of my -- that's one of my primary fields of study so I'm very sensitive to that as well. However, in terms of the bullet

points and breaking the information down, that is a charge of which I asked Mr. Coupe' if he could take care of that.

2.1

The data that we receive comes from juvenile court, comes from the Department of Justice via juvenile court, and it comes as it comes. And so they're going to break that down. We will take a look at that, and if we see that it needs to be further broken down, then of course we will -- we would do that and tweak it and make it better.

The other part of that is in terms of contractual kinds of things, that is not a charge of this consortium. It is a charge of juvenile court and those bodies and -- but it is not a charge of the consortium. We will be making sure that information is communicated as it relates to the community meetings that we will be having. And that is where, you know, your part and your role is going to be critical because, if we have a meeting, then -- in a particular area, it will be noted in several ways. So that's where you can get your voices heard even more.

I understand from our sergeant at arms and

our moderator we have about seven minutes left, so we're going to try to do what we need to do and move expeditiously because we definitely don't want to belabor your time.

2.1

MS. HUGHES: Okay. So we'll go here and, Mr. Wharton, I'm coming to you after that and here.

MS. PAGE: Thank you so much. My name is Sharon Page (phonetically). I represent Damascus Road Residential Center. Let's just go where the rubber meets the road. We really need to do something about the problems that we're having in the community and not do a lot of talking. I'm here as a community based solution.

Right now talking about beds, I've got 55 ready beds. I'm licensed right now by the State of Tennessee to serve 110 children. The purpose of my program is prevention, to interrupt that process, and that's all we're trying to do.

Now, I know that there are other agencies that do other things, but I've got 55 beds ready right this second to take any children, and we offer rehabilitative services. I've got a staff on ready at any moment to go. We're licensed. We're insured.

We're sitting right in the heart of the community, so a lot of the talk, we can really stop I have worked this facility. We've got it ready. We've done a lot of things, and we'd like to serve our children. We would love to partner with juvenile court. We are working with the Memphis police and the Department of Children's Services and any other agency to prevent that child from ever going into custody of any kind. Bring them to Damascus Road. Let us rehabilitate them as a community and send them back home without that mark and blemish and all those other things that we all know come with children going into any level of detention. (Applause.) MS. PAGE: So Damascus Road Residential Center, www.Damascusrd.com. Pull up the web site and understand what we are really trying to do. We're trying to be game changers. We want to partner. These are our children, our nephews and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

We're culturally competent to serve them. We are them, so we ask that you would consider

our cousins and nieces and choir members and

neighbors. These are our people.

```
1
     Damascus Road if you haven't. Give me a call,
 2
     273-4336. I'm the president of Damascus Road.
 3
     love to have you come by and see how we intend to
 4
     serve our community. Thank you.
5
                     (Whereupon, numerous people made
                     overlapping comments that could not
 6
                     be taken down.)
7
 8
                     (Applause.)
9
              MR. WHARTON: Good afternoon. My name is
10
     Andre Wharton. I'm an attorney. My wife
11
     introduced herself earlier. She's also an
12
     attorney.
13
              But it's good to be here. I've practiced
14
     in juvenile court before. I'm -- I guess about in
15
     the same era as Mr. Coupe'. We practiced together.
              And, Professor, (inaudible) good to see
16
17
     you.
18
              I just have one comment I wanted to make
19
     to the consortium and to those gathered here -- or
     question really to Mr. Willis as well. In terms of
20
21
     these meetings, are they subject to open
22
     meetings -- the consortium meetings, because I did
23
     hear you say that the consortium wouldn't have any
24
     issues with folks attending their meetings? So I'm
```

1 assuming -- are they subject to open meetings since they were -- this is constituted? 2 Is that --3 MR. WILLIS: We're not -- we're not a 4 governing body under the Tennessee open meetings 5 act; okay? But we have open meetings. That's what we're having here, and the consortium wants 6 7 participation. So that's what we, you know --MR. WHARTON: Thank you, Attorney Willis. 9 I'll just add one more thing. And again, I came 10 here kind of late, so I don't want to be redundant 11 or be misinformed in terms of what I say. But I 12 would just throw out a potential recommendation as 13 we move forward. This is a great process. nothing more than timing, we've got a lot going on 14 15 in our city and county as far as transition, 16 education, juvenile justice. 17 And I think anything that brings people to the table to talk about things and look at how we 18 19 can do things better, I think, is healthy. encourage everyone to enter with that mind-set in 20 2.1 terms of what can we do to make this better for our community and the children. And I think that's the 22 23 goal that everyone should have in mind. 24 But having said that, in terms of the

information being imparted to the parents and the folks in the community, I would recommend that we think about, as we're moving forward folks who are in the system already, whether it be dependency and neglect, delinquency matters, foster care or whatever -- that we disseminate that information somehow posting it very visibly in the building, having the probation officers and the folks from DCS -- everybody on board and getting that message out and encouraging these people to attend because these are the people that are already being impacted.

2.1

We know that they need to be addressed and their concerns need to be addressed because they're in the system already and then maybe they could help us disseminate that information out into the community. So I would encourage working internally from the intern -- internally to the outside trying to get our message out in terms of what's going on in the community. So that's just one thought.

I plan to try to be as active as I can.

We've got sons as well. Juvenile justice is very important to me. It's critical in terms of when you look at -- I do adult work too, adult criminal

1 defense. So you look at the children that come 2 into the system, eventually they started, for one 3 reason or another, at the juvenile level, whether 4 it was a delinquency, dependency and neglect 5 situation, some truancy issue. So this is a critical piece of it all, so 6 7 we've got to do it right. And I'm just happy that it -- it's a growing pain and we're going through 8 9 it. But hopefully on the other side of the tunnel, there's going to be some light. 11 So thank you for the consortium. I just 12 throw those things out there. 13 PASTOR HUTCHINSON: Is it my turn? I'11 14 just do it without the mike because we're trying to 15 cut down on time. I'm pastor Noel Hutchinson. pastor First Baptist Church Lauderdale. I'm not 16 17 going to preach today because Sunday is for 18 preaching, and that's tomorrow. 19 But the reason I raised my hand and I was standing, I heard you make mention earlier of 20 21 asking us where you should have future community meetings, and I'm basically saying a couple of 22 23 things. Number one, you must come to South 24

10

Memphis.

1	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Yes.
2	PASTOR HUTCHINSON: Number two, if you
3	need a location, I'm offering our church.
4	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Wonderful.
5	PASTOR HUTCHINSON: Number three, if
6	the if our church is not acceptable to you, come
7	to South Memphis anyhow because you need to come to
8	South Memphis. Many of you on the panel already
9	know who I am, and I signed the sheet. Noel
10	Hutchinson. The name of the church is First
11	Baptist Lauderdale. If you need somewhere to go,
12	I'm offering our church. That's all I wanted
13	to say.
14	MR. SMITH: Thank you.
15	(Whereupon, numerous people made
16	overlapping comments that could not
17	be taken down.)
18	PASTOR WILLIAMS: Amen. Amen.
19	MR. BURK: Good afternoon. I'm Dr. Bill
20	Burk (phonetically), and before this day is over, I
21	want to meet Tom. I've been a volunteer in Frayser
22	for a long time. I went there as a retired
23	professor with a mentorship program. I've found
24	communication is a great need, and I've spent many

1 hours full time for the last 15 years trying to do
2 that.

I have a question. We had -- I guess we had Michelle Fowlkes come to our meeting last week. We also spent time with Memphis Gun Down and Peggy Russell. I didn't hear that mentioned today. I'm concerned. There's a lot of communication here, but I think there needs to be more cross talk.

MR. SMITH: Ladies and Gentlemen, we still have some questions up here, but we do not have the time. So this is what I want to do. It's very important -- and we're not trying to -- as far as I'm concerned, we can stay here all evening and talk. This is why I committed to working on this initiative.

But we're limited with time with the -with the library. So having said that, this is
what we need from you and this is particularly
important for those few people -- because I have
three cards up here that we do not have time to
respond to -- but particularly important for those
individuals whose questions were not answered
and/or those that would like to maintain contact

```
1
     with the consortium, I have a sign-in sheet. We'd
 2
     like your name and your e-mail address.
 3
              Mr. Secretary, would you mind passing that
 4
     around?
             We don't want any of you to leave away --
     leave from this forum --
5
              MR. WILLIS: Mr. Chairman?
 6
7
              MR. SMITH: Yes, sir?
 8
              MR. WILLIS: We've got a sign-in sheet
9
     over here and that --
10
              MR. SMITH: Does it have e-mail?
11
              MR. WILLIS: Yeah, yeah. We've got
12
     that -- we've got that -- and it's going to
13
     (inaudible). I think -- I think if we can respond
     to the -- whatever we have --
14
15
              MR. SMITH: All right.
16
              MR. WILLIS: -- in five minutes --
17
              MR. SMITH: Here's -- here's one more
18
     question, and it looks, to some degree, to be a
19
     statement. "The best way to keep the people
20
     informed -- some people are not computer savvy, how
     do we reach them?" Does anyone want to respond to
2.1
22
     that?
23
              MR. CORLEY: They answered that question.
24
                          They did? Was there a
              MR. SMITH:
```

1	response to one of our teaching or training of
2	juveniles? Did anyone respond to that? Reverend
3	Gonzalez, would you like to speak to that?
4	REVEREND GONZALEZ: What was it?
5	MR. SMITH: There is the question is
6	what about teaching or training of juveniles? Any
7	member of the
8	REVEREND GONZALEZ: Juveniles in what?
9	(Whereupon, numerous people made
10	overlapping comments that could not
11	be taken down.)
12	MR. SMITH: Well, I'm assuming in I'm
13	assuming I'm assuming in the detention center.
14	REVEREND GONZALEZ: Oh.
15	MR. SMITH: Ma'am?
16	UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: It's my understanding
17	that they already have classes there
18	REVEREND GONZALEZ: Oh, they have an
19	excellent school
20	(Whereupon, numerous people made
21	overlapping comments that could not
22	be taken down.)
23	REVEREND GONZALEZ: Hope Academy is an
24	amazing place.

1 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Yes. MR. SMITH: Okay. And the final 2 3 question: Why would you choose a person for the 4 DMC -- and I'm assuming the -- this (inaudible) you 5 meant the disproportionate minority coordinator that admitted -- nah, nah. 6 7 MS. HUGHES: Okay. That's it. One final -- well, I have --MR. SMITH: 9 we -- the consortium has two final orders of 10 business, one of which is the statement from 11 Mr. Ron Pope, one of the consortium members who 12 couldn't be here this afternoon because he had a death in the family. And this is going to be 13 included in the record, and I would like to read 14 15 this from Mr. Pope. 16 "I would like to thank those of you who 17 came out today to participate in this historic process. Your presence is evidence of your 18 19 commitment in the lives of children and the concern 20 you have for their rights within the juvenile 2.1 justice system. Youth may get off track for one 22 reason or another. However, when they do, it is 23 the responsibility of the professionals to be sure 24 they are treated fairly, equitably and have the

1 best chances for success. 2 "Your participation" -- meaning the 3 community that's here today, the public -- "your 4 participation will help to insure that that 5 happens. Thank you for your participation and attendance." 6 7 PASTOR WILLIAMS: One more. 8 MR. SMITH: Yes. And, Mr. Secretary, if 9 you would, make sure that's reflected in the 10 minutes. Pastor? 11 PASTOR WILLIAMS: Yeah. Hello, I'm Pastor 12 Williams. I just wanted to say that I've heard a 13 little bit about Biblical things -- just a little bit -- just a little bit. I've heard a lot about 14 15 defense with juvenile court. I've heard a lot of 16 things, but what I really am excited about is what 17 I heard from the public. When Craig was monitoring and bringing out things, I heard you have input. 18 19 Your input is very important to this 20 meeting. We got ready for this meeting over five 21 months ago. We all sat up and wondered what were 22 you going to say. We need to hear what you've got to say about your kids. 23 24 I'm not here to pacify anybody.

1 don't -- Larry called me. He called me. You know 2 who I represent? Jesus Christ. 3 (Whereupon, numerous people made 4 overlapping comments that could not be taken down.) 5 PASTOR WILLIAMS: You know, we -- we were 6 7 talking about Frayser. My son received a bullet in his head and he's in the system now. So I want to 8 9 know what are we going to do. I don't need to 10 hear -- I don't do titles. You know, I do Jesus. 11 I talk about the Lord, but your kids are in 12 trouble. 13 UNIDENTIFIED WOMAN: Yes. 14 PASTOR WILLIAMS: There's an issue going 15 on that -- that's got the police 24/7 around the 16 Frayser area and around South Memphis. Your 17 kids -- do you know your kids? You need to try to find out how can we communicate with each other so 18 19 we can get with the juvenile court system and make 20 suggestions on what they ought to do. 2.1 But we don't need to talk among each 22 other. See, we all need to come together, and 23 there don't need to be -- what -- meetings every 24 three or four months. Man, we need to be meeting

1 every week to get this matter under control. 2 Amen. 3 (Applause.) 4 (Whereupon, numerous people made overlapping comments that could not 5 be taken down.) 6 7 Thank you, Reverend Williams. MR. SMITH: PASTOR WILLIAMS: You're welcome. 8 9 MR. SMITH: For the benefit of -- just 10 some closing remarks, all of us are concerned about 11 our children being locked in, locked out and locked 12 up. And your presence here today is obviously a 13 testament to your commitment to our children and 14 your concern. 15 The consortium's next meeting will be on Thursday, June 20th. The meeting location --16 17 because we're looking at -- and I'm glad the 18 reverend offered his place. We're looking at some 19 alternatives. We will have a confirmation on that location no later than the close of business 20 21 Wednesday of this coming week. 22 I would like to offer my e-mail address. 23 I think you have Mr. Coupe's e-mail address. 24 e-mail is teesmith1@hotmail.com. That's

```
1
     teesmith1@hotmail.com if you're interested in
     learning the location of the consortium's next
 2
 3
     meeting location. Please e-mail me, and I'll have
 4
     that information to you by next week.
5
              MS. HUGHES: It's time.
              MR. SMITH: Yes, it's time.
 6
                                            Do I have
7
     any -- and one other thing that I was remiss
     earlier on in this process and got sidetracked
 8
9
     through the agenda was the formal introduction of
10
     members of the consortium. You have Mr. Eddie
11
     Williams to my far right, Ms. Reverend Gonzalez,
12
     Ms. Quincy Hughes, Dr. Thomas. You have Mr. Mickey
     Williams, Jhukuruin Corley, our newest nominee to
13
14
     the consortium by Mayor Luttrell, Dr. Freda
15
     Williams, and you have Ms. Michelle Fowlkes.
16
              Do I have a motion to adjourn?
17
              REVEREND GONZALEZ: So moved.
18
              MS. FOWLKES: So moved.
19
              REVEREND GONZALEZ: Three moves right
20
     here.
21
              MR. SMITH: Three moved?
                                         Meeting
22
     adjourned.
                 Thank you very much, ladies and
23
     gentlemen.
24
     AND FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
```

```
1
                        (Whereupon, the meeting concluded
2
                        at approximately 3:40 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	C - E - R - T - I - F - I - C - A - T - E
2	STATE OF TENNESSEE:
3	COUNTY OF SHELBY:
4	I, LESLEY L. SPENCE, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, Shelby County,
5	Tennessee, CERTIFY:
б	1. The foregoing proceedings were taken
7 8	before me at the time and place stated in the foregoing styled cause with the appearances as noted;
9	2. Being a Court Reporter, I then reported the proceedings in Stenotype to the best
10	of my skill and ability, and the foregoing pages contain a full, true and correct transcript of my said Stenotype notes then and there taken;
11	3. I am not in the employ of and am not related to any of the parties or their counsel, and
12	I have no interest in the matter involved; 4. I FURTHER CERTIFY that this transcript
13	is the work product of this Court Reporting Agency and any unauthorized reproduction and/or transfer
14	of it will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-149, Theft of Services.
15	
16	WITNESS MY SIGNATURE, this, the 2nd day of August, 2013.
17	
18	
19	LESLEY L. SPENCE Tennessee LCR No. 381 and
20	Notary Public at Large
21	My Commission Expires:
22	July 21, 2014
23	
24	